

King Saud University

Arabian Journal of Chemistry

www.ksu.edu.sa



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Integrated approach on UPLC-QTOF/MS based active plasma component and metabolomics analysis of Gan Mai Da Zao decoction on the treatment of Alzheimer's disease in rats plasma and urine



Meirong Cui¹, Jing Wang², Ying Zhang, Jian Chen, Tingting Mou, Chengqin Zhang, Shuo Gu, Tiantian Zhao, Yue Sun, Wenqian Hao, Binbin Wei^{*}

School of Pharmacy, China Medical University, No.77 Puhe Road, Shenyang 110122, PR China

Received 15 December 2021; accepted 29 March 2022 Available online 6 April 2022

KEYWORDS

Metabolomics; 4,6-Dihydroxyquinoline; Steroid; Riboflavin; Biotin; UPLC-QTOF/MS **Abstract** Alzheimer's disease is the most common form of dementia and affects human health. In this study, a classic prescription of Chinese Medicine Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction was used for treating Alzheimer's disease for the first time. Hence, firstly components of Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction in plasma were screened using UPLC-QTOF/MS. Then the MWM, HE and Metabolomics methods were used to examine the effect of Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction on Alzheimer's disease rats. The results showed 9 active components in ESI + and 3 active components in ESI – of Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction in blood were identified, and Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction improved the learning and memory ability and pathological damage in Alzheimer's disease rats. 57 plasma metabolites and 21 urine metabolites were returned to normal in Alzheimer's disease model group after Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction treatment. 2-hydroxyestradiol, biotin, 4,6-dihydroxyquinoline, morphine-6-glucuronide, riboflavin can clearly distinguish between Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction-treated group and Alzheimer's disease model group involving steroid hormone biosynthesis, biotin metabolism, tryptophan metabolism, drug metabolism - cytochrome P450, and riboflavin metabolism pathway, which were the main

* Corresponding author.

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.



https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2022.103879

1878-5352 © 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

E-mail addresses: 823917673@qq.com (M. Cui), 1441005050@qq.com (J. Wang), 18687447093@163.com (Y. Zhang), 2811505982@qq.com (J. Chen), moutingting2020@163.com (T. Mou), 1245518581@qq.com (C. Zhang), 812919027@qq.com (S. Gu), 1150781797@qq.com (T. Zhao), 2570322705@qq.com (Y. Sun), haowenqian0918@163.com (W. Hao), cmubbwei@126.com (B. Wei).

¹ first author

² co-first author

pathways of Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction treatment on Alzheimer's disease. This study may bring a deeper understanding of the Alzheimer's disease-associated metabolic profile and the therapeutic mechanism of Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction on Alzheimer's disease.

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

According to statistics from the World Health Organization, there are approximately 50 million people with dementia in the world, with 10 million new cases every year. Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia and may account for 60-70% of dementia cases. The main pathological processes of AD are the diffuse extracellular deposition of β-amyloid protein (Aβ) to form neuroinflammatory plaques, and the aggregated hyperphosphorylated tau protein forms the tangles of nerve fibers in the neurites (Duyckaerts et al., 2009). AD is embodied in memory, thinking, behavior, and daily activity ability, which have physical, psychological, social, and economic impacts on the patients themselves, their caregivers, their families, and the whole society (Jia et al., 2020). Currently, there is lack of diagnosis methods that can be used for the early stages of AD or treatment methods that can slow down the progression of the disease and relieve clinical symptoms. Biomarker evidence may increase the certainty of the pathophysiological process of AD (McKhann et al., 2011).

Traditional Chinese medicine has been widely used in the treatment of cognitive disorders including AD (Pei et al., 2020). Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction (GMDZ decoction) contained *Glycyrrhiza uralensis Fisch*. (licorice), *Triticum aestivum L*. (wheat) and *Zizphus jujube Mill*. (jujube), which was first described in 'Jin Gui Yao Lve' (Han Dynasty) widely using for the treatment of various central nervous system diseases (Kim et al., 2017). Studies have showed that GMDZ decoction can participate in anti-anxiety effects through GABA and 5-HT systems (Chen et al., 2019); and exert a rapid antidepressant effect by inhibiting the NMDA/NO/cGMP signal transduction pathway (Zhang et al., 2020). These pathways are also closely related to the pathogenesis of AD (Lalut et al., 2017; Martín-Belmonte et al., 2020; Wang and Reddy, 2017). However, there is almost no relevant research on GMDZ decoction in the treatment of AD by affecting the above ways.

As an important part of systems biology, metabolomics plays an important role in personalized healthcare, the discovery of disease diagnostic markers and new drug targets (Nicholson and Lindon, 2008). AD is related to metabolic disorders in the body (Han, 2016). Studies have showed that sphingomyelin and ethercontaining phosphatidylcholine have changed in the preclinical stage of AD, while acylcarnitine and some branched-chain amino acids have changed in the development stage of AD (Toledo et al., 2017; Polis and Samson, 2020). The cholinergic system, energy metabolism, amino acid and lipid pathways may be involved in the development of Alzheimer's disease (Peña-Bautista et al., 2019).

In this study, we study the classic prescription Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction in 'Jin Gui Yao Lve' for the treatment on AD for the first time. Here, the active components of GMDZ decoction were screened, the behavioral experiments and H&E staining were used to confirm the successful modeling of AD, and the therapeutic effect of GMDZ decoction on AD rats. Metabolomic (plasma and urine) profiles was analyzed to explore the pharmacological mechanism by using UPLC-QTOF/MS. Furthermore, this study may explore a novel insight into the pathogenesis of AD, and clarify the therapeutic effects of GMDZ decoction.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Chemical reagents

Methanol, formic acid and acetonitrile were purchased from Merck AG (Germany, UPLC-QTOF/MS grade). The water used throughout the experiment was purchased from Watsons. D- (+) Galactose was purchased from Beijing Soleibao Technology Co., Ltd. (China). A β_{25-35} and the antibody were purchased from Beijing Bioss Biological Technology Co., Ltd. (China).

2.2. Preparation of GMDZ decoction

Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction contained *Glycyrrhiza uralensis Fisch.* (licorice), *Triticum aestivum L.* (wheat) and *Zizphus jujube Mill.* (jujube). The raw herbs of Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction, obtained from Tongrentang Pharmacy, were authenticated by Prof. Zhang, a professor of Pharmacognosy at China Medical University. Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction extract (Kim et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2017) is a mixture of licorice, wheat, and jujube in a ratio of 3:5:10 (w/w/w). Then the mixture was crushed and immersed in distilled water for 1 h (extract: water, w/v, 1:8), heated, and boiled for 2 h. The filtered residue was boiled again in boiling water for 2 h (residue: water, w/v, 1:6), and the twice water extracts were combined and concentrated to 1 g/mL (that is, each 1 mL of liquid contains 1 g of original medicinal materials). Store the decoction in a refrigerator at 4 °C for later use.

2.3. Animals and experimental design

Adult male Wistar rats (180–200 g) were bred in the experimental animal center of China Medical University. The rats were kept under controlled environmental conditions one week before the experiment, and water and food were provided freely. All the rats fasted with free access to water for 12 h before the experiment. All animal experiments were carried out following the Guideline for Animal Experimentation of China Medical University([CMU2019257]), and the protocol was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the institution. The scheme of the experiment is shown in Fig. 1.

GMDZ decoction components screening experiment in blood: three blank rats were given GMDZ (6.3 g/kg/d, intragastric administration), and after 30 mins blood obtained from eye was placed in EP tube with EDTA for analysis.

Metabolomics experiment: twenty-four rats were randomly divided into three groups (n = 8): control, AD model group (AD), and Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction-treated group (GMDZ). The rats in the AD group and the GMDZ group



Fig. 1 The scheme of the study.

were intraperitoneally injected with D-Galactose (D-gal) (150 mg/kg/day) every day, while the rats in the control group were given normal saline of the same volume for 10 weeks. At the 11th week, according to the stereotactic map of the brain, 10 μ g A β_{25-35} was injected into each bilateral hippocampus of AD and GMDZ rats. The anteroposterior coordinates were – 3.6, posterolateral, \pm 1.9, dorsoventral, 1.9 mm, and the same volume of saline were injected into the brain of control rats in the same way. The Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction intervention started from the 3rd week until the 12th week. According to human clinical amount (0.9 g/kg/d, 60 kg), rat equivalent dose is about 7 times the clinical amount of human clinical amount, it is about 6.3 g / kg / d.

2.4. The Morris Water Maze test

One week after the $A\beta_{25-35}$ injection, the Morris Water Maze (MWM) test was conducted to evaluate the spatial learning and memory abilities of all rats. The device is a circular pool (150 cm in diameter) divided into four equal quadrants. The target quadrant is located in the first quadrant with a platform (8 cm in diameter) located 1 cm below the water surface (water temperature 23 \pm 0.5 °C) in the center of the first quadrant. The test includes directional navigation experiments and space exploration experiments. The directional navigation experiment is used to evaluate the learning ability of rats, and lasts for 5 days, allowing each rat to explore continuously for 60 s, and the starting position of each experiment (4 trials per day) is in a different quadrant. If failed to find the platform within 60 s, the rat was guided to the platform and allowed to stay on the platform for 10 s. Then the average escape latency of each rat was calculated. On the 6th day, the platform was removed, and the probe trial was performed within 60 s. Each rat was put into the pool from the same point, and the frequency of each rat passing through the location of the platform was recorded.

2.5. H&E staining & Western Blotting

H&E Staining: Then the rats were sacrificed, brain tissues were isolated, embedded in paraffin and sectioned continuously (4 μ m). After HE staining and neutral gum sealing, the results were observed under microscope.

Western Blotting: Brain samples were collected and homogenized in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) lysis buffer with freshly added proteinase inhibitors. After centrifugation (12000 rpm, 15 min), the protein concentration was determined using a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein quantitation kit. Electrophoretic separation of 60 μ g of protein per well was conducted using 12.5% SDS-PAGE, followed by transfer onto a PVDF membrane. The membrane was blocked with 8% nonfat milk at room temperature for 1.5 h. The membranes were then incubated with primary antibodies (Amyloid- β 1: 1000) at 4 °C overnight. After washing with TBST, the membranes were incubated with HRP-conjugated affinipuregoat anti-rabbit IgG or HRP-conjugated affinipuregoat-anti mouse IgG for 1 h at room temperature. Visualization of the blots was conducted by gel Imaging using, a chemiluminescence detection kit.

2.6. UPLC-QTOF/MS-based analysis

Sample Preparation: For plasma, the blood samples with EDTA were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C to obtain plasma. A total of 200 μ L of plasma and 600 μ L of cold methanol were vortexed for 2 min, placed at -20 °C for 20 min, and then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm at 4 °C for 15 min to collect the supernatant which was blown under uitrogen. The above residue was redissolved with 200 μ L 50% methanol, vortexed for 2 min, and then centrifuged again. The supernatant was used for UPLC-QTOF/MS analysis. Pre-treatment method for component screening of plasma samples was the same as that in metabolomics section. For urine, the samples were centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C, then 200 μ L of cold methanol

were added into 200 μ L sample and the mixture were vortexed for the 60 s, placed at -20 °C for 20 min, then centrifuged at 12000 rpm at 4 °C for 15 min. Finally, the supernatant was filtered through a 0.22 μ m membrane and the filtrate was submitted to UPLC-QTOF/MS analysis.

UPLC-QTOF/MS conditions: The GMDZ decoction components screening experiment in blood and the metabolomic plasma and urine samples were both monitored in positive and negative modes to identify the components. The metabolic profiling was analyzed using Waters ACQUITY UPLC H-Class/Xevo in line with a Waters Xevo G2 Q-TOF mass spectrometer (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA). ACQUITY HSS T3 column (2.1 \times 100 mm, 1.8 um, Waters) was used for sample separation through reversed-phase chromatography at a flow rate of 0.40 mL min- (Duyckaerts et al., 2009). For screening, the mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile (A) and water containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid (B) in positive mode and acetonitrile (A) and water (B) in negative mode in a gradient elution of 5% A at 0-2.0 min, 5-55% A at 2.0-20.0 min, 55-85% A at 20.0-23.0 min, 85-95% A at 23.0-28.0 min, 95% A at 28.0-33.0 min, 95-5% A at 33.0-34.0 min, 5% A at 34.0–37.0 min. For metabolomics, the mobile phase of acetonitrile (A) and water containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid (B) in positive mode and acetonitrile (A) and water (B) in negative mode were used for plasma analyst in a gradient elution of 5% A at 0-2 min, 5%-95% A at 2-18 min, 95% A at18-21 min,5% A 21–23 min. The mobile phase of acetonitrile (A) and water containing 0.1% (v/v) formic acid (B) in positive mode and acetonitrile (A) and water (B) in negative mode were used for urinary analyst in a gradient elution of 5% A at 0-2 min, 5%-20% A at 2-3 min, 20%-30% A at 3-7 min, 30-60 % A at 7-10 min, 60-95 %A at 10-13 min, 95% A 13-16 min, 5% A 16-19 min. The sample injection volume was 3 µL. The column temperature was 40 °C and the sample temperature was 4 °C.

Xevo G2-XS Q-TOF mass spectrometer was used to collect mass spectral data at a rate of two scans per second over a mass to charge (m/z) range of 100–1200 Da. High-purity nitrogen (N2) was used as nebulizing gas, and ultra-high pure helium (He) was employed as collision gas. Source parameters were set as follows: desolvation temperature, 500 °C; cone gas flow, 50 L. h⁻¹; desolvation gas flow, 700 L. h⁻¹; capillary voltage, 2.0 kV for plasma samples and 2.5 kV for urine samples; sampling cone voltage, 45.0 V; source offset, 80 V and low collision energy of 6 eV and high collision energy of 10–40 eV. MS data were acquired in continuum mode. Leu-enkephalin (200 pg/µL) was used as a lock mass reference (m/z 556.2771in positive mode, m/z 554.2615 in negative mode) with the Lock Spray interface to ensure mass accuracy and reproducibility.

The Quality Control (QC) in the manuscript is to ensure the instrument's stability and the integrity of data collection. At the beginning of the sequence, we ran ten QC samples to equilibrate the system. The preparation method of QC is as follows: 21 μ L were took out from 24 samples in three groups, mixed well, and proceed with the remaining samples. During the analysis, QC samples were run at regular intervals operation to further detect the stability of the system.

2.7. Data processing

GMDZ decoction components screening experiment in blood: MassLynx 4.1 mass spectrometry workstation and UNIFI analysis software were used to complete data collection and analysis in positive and negative ion modes. Then we searched the literature, the TCMSP database and the SCIFinder database to find the chemical components in Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction, saved the.mol file and imported it into the UNIFI analysis software as a self-built database. A screening analysis method for the determination of Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction was established. The parameters were as follows: mass error 5 mDa; response value > 1000; low-energy channel intensity threshold 20; high-energy channel intensity threshold 100; retention time error 0.1 min. According to the precise mass, the matching degree of fragment ions, and the relative retention time, the chemical composition of Gan-Mai-Da-Zao decoction was determined.

All the raw data of metabolomics were converted to Progenesis QI V 2.3 software (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) for data processing. The converted files were calculated for generation of alignment, peak picking, deconvolution, filter data, identifying compounds, exporting to EZinfo 3.0 for compound statistics, correlation analysis, and compound validation. Metabolic features with a OC relative standard deviation (RSD) < 30%were included in the subsequent analysis. Human Metabolome databases (https://www.hmdb.ca/) SDF database were selected for metabolite identification. The identified data were exported to EZinfo 3.0 software for principal component analysis (PCA). Orthogonal partial least square-discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) modes were established to determine global metabolic changes between two groups. OPLS-DA mode was assessed by the intersections of \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{Q}^2 in the displacement test, which provided information for the interpretability and predictability and avoided overfitting. The combining condition (VIP > 1 and p values < 0.05) from the OPLS-DA analysis was carried out to select distinct variables as altered metabolites. Other parameter settings were designated as default for data processing automatically.

2.8. Multivariate ROC curve exploration and pathway analysis

The altered metabolite data were imported into MetaboAnalyst 5.0 (https://www.metaboanalyst.ca), which is a webbased tool for the visualization of metabolomics. The pathway analysis was to explore the therapeutic mechanisms regarding GMDZ decoction treatment. The impact value threshold calculated from the pathway topology analysis was set to 0.10, and a raw p-value < 0.05 was regarded as significant. The multivariate exploratory ROC curve analysis aimed to evaluate the performance of biomarker created through automated important feature identification. The multivariate algorithm based on support vector machine (SVM) was used for ROC curve analysis. ROC curves were generated by Monte-Carlo cross-validation (MCCV) using balanced subsampling.

3. Results

3.1. Active components in GMDZ decoction were screened in blood

In order to understand the potential active components in GMDZ decoction, we screened the components of GMDZ decoction in plasma to provide a substance foundation for its effect. 9 components in ESI + and 3 components in

ESI- meeting the condition (Mass error < 5 ppm) were identified in Fig. 2a, b, c showed the classification and percentage of 12 components in GMDZ decoction, and these components were showed in Table 1.

3.2. GMDZ decoction ameliorated learning and memory impairment of AD rats induced by $A\beta_{25-35}$

The MWM test was used to exam the effects of GMDZ decoction on the learning and memory ability of AD model rats. Representative images of the swim paths were shown in Fig. 3a, b, c, the AD rats failed to find a platform. As shown in Fig. 3d, the escape latency of each rat decreased with the increasing training time in the directional navigation experiment. Especially, the escape latency of GMDZ group was significantly lower than that of AD group. These results indicated that GMDZ decoction can alleviate the impairment of learning and memory induced by A β_{25-35} . In the space exploration experiment, as shown in Fig. 3e, the GMDZ rats frequently appeared in the quadrant where the platform was located, while the AD rats moved irregularly in the periphery, and passed the platform less frequently than the GMDZ rats. In summary, GMDZ decoction significantly improved the spatial learning and memory impairment induced by $A\beta_{25-35}$.

3.3. GMDZ decoction ameliorated pathological damage and deposition of $A\beta$

In AD group, the arrangement of nerve cells in hippocampal CA1 area was disordered and loose, the cell body atrophied, the cell membrane dissolved, the nucleus pyknosis and deep staining, and the normal nerve cells decreased significantly. After GMDZ decoction treatment, the above situation was obviously ameliorated (shown in the Figs. 4a, b, 3c. Western blot was used to detect the expression of A β to further illustrate A β deposition in the brain of rats. Fig. 4d, e showed

that the $A\beta$ deposited in the brains of the AD rats was close to normal after GMDZ administration.

3.4. Metabolomics profile of urine and blood

Unsupervised clustering PCA recognition model was used to evaluate the clustering trend of different groups. As shown in Fig. 5, the clustered QC samples suggested the repeatability of the acquisition method and the stability of the instrument. In the plasma and urine PCA score plots, the AD group was separated from the control group, which indicated that there were obvious metabolic differences in AD rats. In addition, an obvious trend of separation between the GMDZ group and the AD group was observed, indicating that a significant change in metabolism occurred after GMDZ decoction treatment. At the same time, OPLS-DA pattern recognition was applied to identify the overall metabolic differences between the two groups shown in Fig. S1, significant separations of the control group with AD group and AD group with GMDZ group were noted, which indicated that metabolic perturbation in plasma and urine samples remarkably occurred within different groups. In addition, both R^2 and Q^2 values in the OPLS-DA model were > 0.5, and the results show that the model has no over-fitting phenomenon and has a good predictive ability (Fig. S2).

Identification altered metabolites in AD and the mechanism of GMDZ decoction on AD: The compounds with VIP > 1.0 and p-value < 0.05 by *t*-test in OPLS-DA were screened as differential metabolites as shown in Fig. 6. By matching the raw MS data in the online HMDB database and using MetaboAnalyst 5.0 to analysis enriched pathway, totally 42 (ESI+) and 15 (ESI-) plasma common differential metabolites, and 16 (ESI+) and 5 (ESI-) common urine differential metabolites were identified involving lots of metabolic pathways shown in Fig. 7 and Table 2. As shown in Fig. 8, hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA) of the differential metabolite were dis-



Fig. 2 12 active components in GMDZ decoction were screened in Blood (a, BPI plot of GMDZ decoction screening in ESI+; B, BPI plot of GMDZ decoction screening in ESI-; c, the classification and percentage of 12 components in GMDZ decoction).

Tabla 1

I abit	, 1						
	Name		Rt	Neutral Molecule (Da)	Observed m/z	Fragment	Class
1	Ferulic Acid	+H	6.77	194.0579	195.065		Organic acid
2	Gancaonin	+H	6.89	256.0736	257.0805		Flavonoids
3	Vomifoliol	+H	14.45	224.1412	225.1484		Others
4	glycyrrhizin	+H	15.16	822.4038	823.408	453.3368	Triterpenoid saponin
5	malkangunin	+H	16.22	432.2148	433.2208	384.1921	Others
6	liquoric acid	+H	20.08	484.3189	485.3265	119.0829	Triterpenoid saponin
7	18beta-glycyrrhetinic acid	+H	22.54	470.3396	471.3473	189.1635	Triterpenoid saponin
8	Jujubasaponin VI	+H	22.59	796.4609	797.4758	478.3295	Triterpenoid saponin
9	Zizyphus saponin II	+H	23.99	912.5083	913.5082	662.4045	Triterpenoid saponin
10	Glepidotin	-H	0.64	284.1049	283.0993		Flavonoids
11	Medicarpin	-H	0.61	270.0892	269.0835		Flavonoids
12	Odoratin	—Н	23.35	266.1518	265.1453		Others



Fig. 3 Effects of GMDZ decoction on $A\beta_{25-35}$ -induced memory impairments in the MWM test: (a), (b), (c) represented trajectory images of the swim path, and (d) represented escape latency needed to reach the hidden platform during the in the directional navigation experiment. (e) represented times spent in the target quadrant for analysis of spatial memory function. Data were expressed as the mean \pm SD (n = 8 per group; escape latency was analyzed by repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA); other data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by least significant difference tests). ##represents p \leq 0.01, AD vs control group. **represents p \leq 0.01, GMDZ vs. AD group.

played on the heat map. The results showed differential metabolites can distinguish the control group from the AD group, and the GMDZ group from the AD group.

Biomarkers identification based on receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve: To further identify biomarkers and evaluate the therapeutic effect of GMDZ decoction, ROC curve analysis was conducted to construct a classification model, and the area under the curve (AUC) was used as an evaluation index. Fig. 9 shows the AUC curve of different quantities metabolites, it can be seen that 5 different metabolites in urine (2-hydroxyestradiol, biotin, 4,6-dihydroxyquinoline, morphine-6-glucuronide, riboflavin) can well distinguish GMDZ from AD groups, which are biomarkers of GMDZ decoction treatment on AD (see Fig. 10).

4. Discussion

Liquid-mass spectrometry has been widely used in components screening of traditional Chinese medicine and its compound prescriptions. The components screening of GMDZ decoction in the blood helps better understand the potential active components in the prescription and its mechanism. Studies have identified the main components of GMDZ decoction, includ-





Fig. 4 Gan Mai Da Zao decoction alleviated the pathological changes and the expression of A β in the hippocampus of in AD rats. (a, histopathology examination of control group; b, histopathology examination of AD group; c, histopathology examination of GMDZ group, d, the expression of A β in the hippocampus of rats; e, statistical result of A β expression level according to the grayscale value, ** indicated that the expression of A β is significantly increased compared to the control group, and P \leq 0.01. ## represented a significant decrease in GMDZ group compared to the AD group, p \leq 0.01; each group n = 8).



Fig. 5 PCA score plots of plasma and urine metabolic profiles; the symbols marked in blue represent control group, black represents QC, green represents AD group, red represents GMDZ group(a, PCA plot of plasma in positive mode; b, PCA plot of plasma in negative mode; c, PCA plot of urine in positive mode; d, PCA plot of urine in negative mode).



Fig. 6 S-plot of different metabolites of plasma and urine in control, AD, GMDZ group (a. S-plot of plasma metabolites in AD from Model in ESI+; b. S-plot of plasma metabolites in GMDZ from AD in ESI+; c, S-plot of plasma metabolites in AD from Model in ESI-; d. S-plot of plasma metabolites in GMDZ from AD in ESI-; e. S-plot of urine metabolites in AD from Model in ESI+; f. S-plot of urine metabolites in GMDZ from AD in ESI+; g, S-plot of urine metabolites in AD from Model in ESI-; h. S-plot of urine metabolites in GMDZ from AD in ESI+; g, S-plot of urine metabolites in AD from Model in ESI-; h. S-plot of urine metabolites in GMDZ from AD in ESI+; g, S-plot of urine metabolites in AD from Model in ESI-; h. S-plot of urine metabolites in GMDZ from AD in ESI-; h. S-plot of urine metabolites in GMDZ fro



Fig. 7 Enriched KEGG pathways in plasma and urine (a, enriched KEGG pathways in plasma in ESI+; b, enriched KEGG pathways in plasma in ESI-; c, enriched KEGG pathways in urine in ESI+; d, enriched KEGG pathways in urine in ESI-).

Table 2										
Compound ID	Pathway	Adducts	Formula	Description	m/z	Retention time (min)	Anova (p)	q Value	FC_BM	FC_GM
Plasma										
HMDB0043800	Glycerolipid metabolism	M + NH4	C58H102O5	TG(15:0/22:6 (4Z,7Z,10Z,13Z,16Z,19Z)/o-18:0)	896.8021	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.53	0.89
HMDB0055591	Glycerolipid metabolism	M + NH4	C63H104O6	TG(20:4(8Z,11Z,14Z,17Z)/20:1(11Z)/ 20:4(8Z,11Z,14Z,17Z))	974.8184	0.58	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.97
HMDB0008810	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M + Na, M + K	C54H102NO8P	PC(24:1(15Z)/22:2(13Z,16Z))	962.7625	0.59	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.81
HMDB0000014	Pyrimidine metabolism	M + Na	C9H13N3O4	Deoxycytidine	250.081	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.97
HMDB0001190	Tryptophan metabolism	M + H	C10H9NO	Indoleacetaldehyde	160.0764	1.44	0.00	0.00	0.65	1.01
HMDB0000220	Fatty acid biosynthesis	M + NH4	C16H32O2	Palmitic acid	274.2755	10.70	0.00	0.00	0.48	1.07
HMDB0000277	Sphingolipid metabolism	M + H. $M + Na$	C18H38NO5P	Sphingosine 1-phosphate	380.2564	12.30	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.71
HMDB0010383	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M + H, $M + Na$	C24H48NO7P	LysoPC(16:1(9Z))	494.3246	12.90	0.00	0.00	0.52	0.79
HMDB0010386	Glycerophospholipid	M + H, M + K	C26H50NO7P	LysoPC(18:2(9Z,12Z))	520.3401	13.18	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.65
HMDB0010395	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M + H, M + Na, M + K	C28H50NO7P	LysoPC(20:4(5Z,8Z,11Z,14Z))	544.34	13.27	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.83
HMDB0011477	Glycerophospholipid	M + H, M + Na	C23H44NO7P	LysoPE(0:0/18:2(9Z,12Z))	478.2937	13.37	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.81
HMDB0062711	Glycerophospholipid	M + Na, M + K	C26H50NO7P	2-linoleoyl- <i>sn</i> -glycero-3-	542.3234	13.43	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.67
HMDB0010404	Glycerophospholipid	M + H, $M + Na$	C30H50NO7P	LysoPC(22:6 (47,77,107,137,167,107))	568.341	13.46	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.65
HMDB0010396	Glycerophospholipid	M + H, M + Na	C28H50NO7P	(4Z, 7Z, 10Z, 13Z, 10Z, 19Z)) LysoPC(20:4(8Z, 11Z, 14Z, 17Z))	544.3407	13.48	0.00	0.00	0.73	0.92
HMDB0010382	Glycerophospholipid	M + H, M + K	C24H50NO7P	LysoPC(16:0)	496.3396	13.62	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.79
HMDB0010402	Glycerophospholipid	M + H, M + Na	C30H52NO7P	LysoPC(22:5(4Z,7Z,10Z,13Z,16Z))	570.3558	13.83	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.70
HMDB0001553	Cysteine and methionine metabolism	M + K	C5H8O3S	2-Oxo-4-methylthiobutanoic acid	186.9829	14.08	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.72
HMDB0010381	Glycerophospholipid	M + H, M + Na	C23H48NO7P	LysoPC(15:0)	482.3246	15.43	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.98
HMDB0010408	Glycerophospholipid	M + H	C26H52NO6P	LysoPC(P-18:1(9Z))	506.362	15.50	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.74
HMDB0010384	Glycerophospholipid	M + H, M + K, M	C26H54NO7P	LysoPC(18:0)	524.372	15.50	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.89
HMDB0011148	Glycerophospholipid	M + H, M + Na	C28H56NO7P	PC(18:1(9Z)e/2:0)	550.3872	15.83	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.84
HMDB0011490	Glycerophospholipid	M + H	C27H56NO7P	LysoPE(0:0/22:0)	538.3877	16.08	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.87
HMDB0011520	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M + H, $M + Na$	C27H56NO7P	LysoPE(22:0/0:0)	538.388	16.34	0.00	0.00	0.21	0.73

(continued on next page)

Table 2(cont	inued)									
Compound ID	Pathway	Adducts	Formula	Description	m/z	Retention time (min)	Anova (p)	q Value	FC_BM	FC_GM
HMDB0060102	Arachidonic acid metabolism	M + H	C20H32O2	Arachidonate	305.248	17.59	0.00	0.00	0.51	1.01
HMDB0007880	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M + H, M + NH4	C42H80NO8P	PC(14:0/20:2(11Z,14Z))	758.5697	17.76	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.90
HMDB0008729	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M + H, M + Na	C48H82NO8P	PC(22:6(4Z,7Z,10Z,13Z,16Z,19Z)/18:1 (9Z))	832.5853	17.76	0.00	0.00	0.38	0.80
HMDB0001256	beta-Alanine metabolism	M + K	C10H26N4	Spermine	241.1808	17.96	0.00	0.00	0.49	0.81
HMDB0009549	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M + H	C42H80NO8P	PE(22:2(13Z,16Z)/15:0)	758.57	18.51	0.00	0.00	0.66	0.83
HMDB0004866	Sphingolipid metabolism	M + H, M + K	C42H79NO13	Lactosylceramide (d18:1/12:0)	806.5685	18.51	0.00	0.00	0.38	1.21
HMDB0009778	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M + NH4	C41H79O13P	PI(16:0/16:0)	828.5534	18.51	0.00	0.00	0.37	1.70
HMDB0008789	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M+Na	C46H88NO8P	PC(24:1(15Z)/14:1(9Z))	836.6178	18.51	0.00	0.00	0.47	0.62
HMDB0009585	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M + H, M + NH4	C45H82NO8P	PE(22:4(7Z,10Z,13Z,16Z)/18:0)	813.6475	18.52	0.01	0.01	0.36	0.63
HMDB0008692	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M + H, M + NH4	C46H82NO8P	PC(22:5(7Z,10Z,13Z,16Z,19Z)/16:0)	808.5843	18.79	0.00	0.00	0.34	0.70
HMDB0009749	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M + H	C45H86NO8P	PE(24:1(15Z)/16:1(9Z))	800.611	18.80	0.01	0.00	0.23	0.43
HMDB0008618	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M + H	C54H102NO8P	PC(22:2(13Z,16Z)/24:1(15Z))	924.7483	19.91	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.36
HMDB0011435	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M + NH4, M + K	C37H70NO7P	PE(P-18:1(9Z)/14:1(9Z))	689.5288	20.72	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.40
HMDB0008909	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M + Na	C42H80NO8P	PE(15:0/22:2(13Z,16Z))	780.5536	20.96	0.02	0.01	0.16	1.26
HMDB0000638	Fatty acid biosynthesis	M + NH4	C12H24O2	Dodecanoic acid	218.2121	7.48	0.00	0.00	0.43	0.98
HMDB0013438	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M + Na	C50H98NO7P	PC(o-18:2(9Z,12Z)/24:0)	878.6981	8.83	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.43
HMDB0008795	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M + H, M + NH4	C50H96NO8P	PC(24:1(15Z)/18:1(9Z))	887.6684	8.99	0.01	0.01	0.53	0.48
HMDB0001547	Steroid hormone biosynthesis	M + H	C21H30O4	Corticosterone	347.2229	9.04	0.01	0.01	0.41	1.64
HMDB0000806	Fatty acid biosynthesis	M + NH4	C14H28O2	Myristic acid	246.2435	9.23	0.00	0.00	0.42	1.00
HMDB0000432	Steroid hormone biosynthesis	M-H	C24H40O5	3a,7b,12a-Trihydroxy-5a-Cholanoic acid	407.28	10.18	0.01	0.01	1.96	2.50
HMDB0000399	Fructose and mannose metabolism	М-Н2О-Н, М-Н	C24H40O6	3a,6b,7a,12a-Tetrahydroxy-5b- cholanoic acid	405.2648	10.82	0.00	0.01	3.78	2.50
HMDB0010379	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M+FA-H	C22H46NO7P	LysoPC(14:0/0:0)	512.2996	12.41	0.02	0.04	0.63	1.01
HMDB0010397	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M+FA-H	C28H48NO7P	LysoPC(20:5(5Z,8Z,11Z,14Z,17Z))	586.3152	12.74	0.34	0.18	0.66	2.15
HMDB0012496	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M-H	C23H39O7P	l-Lyso-2-arachidonoyl-phosphatidate	457.2368	12.99	0.00	0.00	0.34	1.29

Table 2 (cont	inued)									
Compound ID	Pathway	Adducts	Formula	Description	m/z	Retention time (min)	Anova (p)	q Value	FC_BM	FC_GM
HMDB0010392	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M+FA-H	C28H54NO7P	LysoPC(20:2(11Z,14Z))	592.3626	14.79	0.00	0.01	0.44	1.76
HMDB0012108	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M-H	C25H52NO7P	LysoPC(17:0)	508.3408	15.50	0.00	0.00	0.61	1.27
HMDB0062195	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M+FA-H	C26H54NO7P	2-acetyl-1-alkyl- <i>sn</i> -glycero-3- phosphocholine	568.3618	15.50	0.00	0.00	0.61	1.24
HMDB0010391	Glycerophospholipid metabolism	M+FA-H	C28H56NO7P	LysoPC(20:1(11Z))	594.3782	15.83	0.00	0.00	0.42	1.24
HMDB0002497	Primary bile acid biosynthesis	М-Н2О-Н	C26H43NO8S	Glycochenodeoxycholate-3-sulfate	510.2544	8.21	0.00	0.01	21.85	0.47
HMDB0000036	Taurine and hypotaurine metabolism	M–H, M+Na-2H	C26H45NO7S	Taurocholic acid	514.2844	8.69	0.05	0.06	3.12	0.42
HMDB0000138	Primary bile acid biosynthesis	M–H, M+Na-2H	C26H43NO6	Glycocholic acid	464.3019	8.88	0.08	0.08	3.36	0.92
HMDB0002596	Pentose and glucuronate interconversions	M–H, M+Na-2H	C30H48O10	Deoxycholic acid 3-glucuronide	567.3173	8.92	0.00	0.00	5.86	19.02
HMDB0000951	Primary bile acid biosynthesis	M-H	C26H45NO6S	Taurochenodesoxycholic acid	498.2895	9.53	0.01	0.01	2.13	1.66
HMDB0000619	Primary bile acid biosynthesis	M+Na-2H, M +FA-H, M–H	C24H40O5	Cholic acid	407.2802	9.76	0.00	0.00	5.08	3.25
urine										
HMDB0001024	Cysteine and methionine metabolism	M + H	C3H5O7P	Phosphohydroxypyruvic acid	184.9857	11.66	0.00	0.00	1.72	0.69
HMDB0000338	Steroid hormone biosynthesis	M + Na	C18H24O3	2-Hydroxyestradiol	311.1598	3.06	0.00	0.00	0.81	1.42
HMDB0000205	Phenylalanine metabolism	M + H	C9H8O3	Phenylpyruvic acid	165.0552	3.95	0.00	0.00	1.97	0.86
HMDB0001032	Steroid hormone biosynthesis	M + NH4	C19H28O5S	Dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate	386.2019	4.19	0.00	0.00	0.78	0.64
HMDB0000714	Phenylalanine metabolism	M + H	C9H9NO3	Hippuric acid	180.0663	4.46	0.00	0.00	1.85	0.56
HMDB0006760	Steroid hormone biosynthesis	M + H	C21H32O5	11b,17a,21-Trihydroxypreg-nenolone	365.2323	4.51	0.00	0.00	0.36	2.09
HMDB0000030	Biotin metabolism	M + H	C10H16N2O3S	Biotin	245.0961	4.59	0.00	0.00	0.46	1.33
HMDB0004077	Tryptophan metabolism	M + H	C9H7NO2	4,6-Dihydroxyquinoline	162.0558	5.21	0.00	0.00	2.36	0.44
HMDB0000319	Steroid hormone biosynthesis	M + K	C21H30O5	18-Hydroxycorticosterone	401.1715	5.28	0.00	0.00	0.62	0.99
HMDB0041937	Drug metabolism - cytochrome P450	M + H	C23H27NO9	Morphine-6-glucuronide	462.1805	5.30	0.00	0.00	0.40	1.28
HMDB0000269	Sphingolipid metabolism	M + K	C18H39NO2	Sphinganine	340.2592	5.91	0.00	0.00	2.24	0.63
HMDB0006762	Steroid hormone biosynthesis	M + H	C21H32O4	17alpha,21-Dihydroxypregnenolone	349.2382	6.20	0.03	0.11	0.83	1.81
HMDB0001449	Steroid hormone biosynthesis	M + Na	C21H34O2	Allopregnanolone	341.2481	6.32	0.13	0.01	1.68	0.76
HMDB0001173	Cysteine and methionine metabolism	M + NH4	C11H15N5O3S	5'-Methylthioadenosine	315.1258	7.26	0.00	0.00	0.57	2.66

(continued on next page)

12		

Table 2 (cont	tinued)									
Compound ID	Pathway	Adducts	Formula	Description	z/m	Retention time (min)	Anova (p)	q Value	FC_BM	FC_GM
HMDB000063	Steroid hormone biosynthesis	H + M	C21H30O5	Cortisol	363.2148	7.65	0.00	0.00	0.27	1.78
HMDB0002802	Steroid hormone biosynthesis	M + H	C21H28O5	Cortisone	361.2026	9.10	0.01	0.00	0.60	1.81
HMDB00296	Pyrimidine metabolism	M-H, M+CI	C9H12N2O6	Uridine	243.061	0.90	0.01	0.00	1.63	0.62
HMDB01557	Riboflavin metabolism	M + FA-H	C15H16N4O6	Riboflavin reduced	393.1062	1.02	0.02	0.01	2.55	0.53
HMDB04483	Steroid hormone biosynthesis	M-H	C24H30O8	Estrone glucuronide	445.1887	5.18	0.00	0.00	0.10	33.14
HMDB32596	Primary bile acid biosynthesis	H-M	C26H43NO6	Sodium glycocholate	464.2995	6.21	0.00	0.00	0.00	325.71
HMDB10337	Pyrimidine metabolism	M-H20-H	C25H34O8	6-Dehydrotestosterone glucuronide	443.2056	6.48	0.00	0.00	0.00	587.84

ing Flavonoids, Carotene, Triterpenoid saponin, Alkaloid, Steroid, Organic acid. In our study, a variety of Flavonoids, Triterpenoid saponin and Organic acid compound in blood were discovered, which laid the foundation for the GMDZ decoction's role.

D-gal has been widely used to establish disease models with memory and cognitive impairments and aging-related defects (Chiroma et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019). In our study, AD model was established by joint modeling method (intraperitoneal injection of D-gal and intraventricular injection of A β_{25-35}). The MWM, HE straining and western blot results showed GMDZ decoction improved the learning and memory ability and history damage in AD rats.

The pathway map depicts the pathomechanism in AD rats and the therapeutic mechanism of GMDZ decoction for AD (shown in Fig. 9). In this study, there were metabolic abnormalities of amino acids, lipids and vitamins in plasma and urine of AD rats. However, urinary metabolism disorderly dominates, which may be due to discharge of disturbances under a steady-state regulatory mechanism in the body at the early disease. Urinary and feces are more early response to disease (Zhang et al., 2018). Among them, we found five metabolites (2-Hydroxyestradiol, Biotin, 4,6-Dihydroxyquinoline, Morphine-6-glucuronide, Riboflavin reduced) in urine, mainly involving steroid hormone biosynthesis, biotin metabolism, tryptophan metabolism, drug metabolism - cytochrome P450, and riboflavin metabolic pathways, indicating that GMDZ decoction may primarily play a role in regulating the above metabolism. The brain is an organ with large energy consumption, which is vulnerable to the interference of energy metabolism. AD is closely related to energy metabolism (Rijpma et al., 2018). Studies have shown that the downregulation of energy metabolism in AD patients is a protective response of neurons to the microenvironment (Sun et al., 2012). Amino acids play an important role in energy metabolism. The level of amino acids can be used as a potential biomarker in patients with AD (Socha et al., 2020). Branchedchain amino acids (BCAAs), such as isoleucine, leucine, and valine, are essential amino acids and cannot be synthesized by the human body. The brain completes the absorption of BCAAs through a competitive transport carrier shared with tryptophan in the blood-brain barrier. Tryptophan is the precursor of serotonin and many other neutral amino acids. Long-term high levels of circulating BCAAs may lead to a decrease in the level of tryptophan in the brain and in the synthesis of serotonin and serotonergic signals in neurons. And we found 4,6-dihydroxyquinoline, which is one of the tryptophan metabolites, was downregulated in AD rats. These may further increase the risk of AD (Socha et al., 2020; Larsson and Markus, 2017; Choi et al., 2013).

Vitamin is critical to normal brain structure and functions, especially in pregnancy and infant, nutrients have laid a material foundation, and even affect brain function of the whole life cycle like sports, language and emotions (Prado and Dewey, 2014). Biotin promotes cGMP synthesis in the brain that would suppress amyloid-beta production within the brain by inhibiting expression of amyloid precursor protein and BACE1, these effects suggest that biotin might have the potential for the prevention and treatment of AD (McCarty and DiNicolantonio, 2017). In our study, the level of biotin in the AD group was down-regulated, while the level of biotin was significantly up-regulated after GMDZ decoction adminis-



Fig. 8 Heat maps of hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA) of the differential metabolite (a, heat map of plasma different metabolites; b, heat map of urine different metabolites).



Fig. 9 ROC curves plot of different metabolites. (a) represents ROC curve based on differential plasma metabolites and urine metabolites (b)represents the predictive accuracy of the top 5 biomarkers.

tration, GMDZ decoction may improve the biotin level in vivo to play a therapeutic role. Riboflavin is also an irreplaceable role in protecting nerves.

Lipids including sphingolipids, glycerophospholipids, and cholesterol play a vital role in many cell functions such as cell membrane formation, energy storage, and cell signaling (Wong et al., 2017). Disorders of lipid metabolism are related to neurological diseases and neurodegenerative diseases such as AD (Kao et al., 2020). In our study, abnormal metabolism of glycerolipids, sphingolipids, steroid and fatty acids was mainly

involved. The changes of plasma phospholipids are related to the pathogenesis of AD and may be sensitive to the early neurodegeneration of preclinical AD (Mapstone et al., 2014; Whiley, 2014). We determined that lipid metabolism is related to the pathological process of AD and the therapeutic mechanism of GMDZ decoction. Specially, 2-Hydroxyestradiol is a steroid hormone secreted by ovarian, there are a variety of forms, such as estrone, estradiol (E2) and female 5ol (E3), estrogen need to function through combination with estrogen receptors. After women menopause, the AB gradually increases as the level of estradiol (E2) decreased. When the E2 treatment is given, the $A\beta$ is significantly reduced. Studies have shown that due to the lack of E2, the amount of APP expression is significantly increased, and estrogen treatment can reduce the expression of APP in the cerebral cortex. At the same time, estradiol can play a nerve protective effect through the receptor dependent pathway to reduce the generation of β -amyloid.

In humans, morphine-6-glucuronide is involved in the morphine action pathway. Morphine-6-glucuronide is a primary metabolite. Primary metabolites are metabolically or physiologically essential metabolites. They are directly involved in organism's growth, development or reproduction. Very few articles have been published on Morphine-6-glucuronide by now, and we will study further on this.

5. Conclusions

In summary, the active components in GMDZ decoction could effectively improve the learning and cognitive impairment and damaged hippocampus, significantly callbacks of key different metabolites of AD rats (Fig. 10). The main mechanism includes adjusting steroid hormone biosynthesis, biotin metabolism, tryptophan metabolism, drug metabolism - cytochrome P450, and riboflavin metabolism pathways. In the future, our group will continue to explore the role of GMDZ decoction on AD.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (No. 81703519) and Shenyang city Science and Technology Program (No: 21-108-9-12).

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2022.103879.

References

- Chen, H.S., Gu, L.J., Yang, Y.X., Guo, J.Y., 2019. GABA and 5-HT Systems Are Involved in the Anxiolytic Effect of Gan-Mai-Da-Zao Decoction. Front. Neurosci. 12, 1043. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fnins.2018.01043.
- Chiroma, S.M., Baharuldin, M., Mat Taib, C.N., Amom, Z., Jagadeesan, S., Ilham Adenan, M., Mahdi, O., Moklas, M.,

2019. Protective Effects of Centella asiatica on Cognitive Deficits Induced by D-gal/AlCl₃ via Inhibition of Oxidative Stress and Attenuation of Acetylcholinesterase Level. Toxics 7 (2), 19. https:// doi.org/10.3390/toxics7020019.

- Choi, S., Disilvio, B., Fernstrom, M.H., Fernstrom, J.D., 2013. Oral branched-chain amino acid supplements that reduce brain serotonin during exercise in rats also lower brain catecholamines. Amino Acids 45 (5), 1133–1142. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-013-1566-1.
- Duyckaerts, C., Delatour, B., Potier, M.C., 2009. Classification and basic pathology of Alzheimer's disease. Acta neuropathologica 118 (1), 5–36. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-009-0532-1.
- Han, X., 2016. Lipidomics for studying metabolism. Nature Rev. Endocrinol. 12 (11), 668–679. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrendo.2016.98.
- Huang, H.L., Lim, S.L., Lu, K.H., Sheen, L.Y., 2017. Antidepressantlike effects of Gan-Mai-Dazao-Tang via monoamine regulatory pathways on forced swimming test in rats. J. Traditional Complement. Med. 8 (1), 53–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jtcme.2017.01.007.
- Jia, L., Quan, M., Fu, Y., Zhao, T., Li, Y., Wei, C., Tang, Y., Qin, Q., Wang, F., Qiao, Y., Shi, S., Wang, Y.J., Du, Y., Zhang, J., Zhang, J., Luo, B., Qu, Q., Zhou, C., Gauthier, S., Jia, J., Group for the Project of Dementia Situation in China, 2020. Dementia in China: epidemiology, clinical management, and research advances. The Lancet. Neurol. 19 (1), 81–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422 (19)30290-X.
- Kao, Y.C., Ho, P.C., Tu, Y.K., Jou, I.M., Tsai, K.J., 2020. Lipids and Alzheimer's Disease. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21 (4), 1505. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/ijms21041505.
- Kim, S.R., Lee, H.W., Jun, J.H., Ko, B.S., 2017. Effects of Herbal Medicine (Gan Mai Da Zao Decoction) on Several Types of Neuropsychiatric Disorders in an Animal Model: A Systematic Review: Herbal medicine for animal studies of neuropsychiatric diseases. J. Pharmacopunct. 20 (1), 5–9. https://doi.org/10.3831/ KPI.2017.20.005.
- Lalut, J., Karila, D., Dallemagne, P., Rochais, C., 2017. Modulating 5-HT4 and 5-HT6 receptors in Alzheimer's disease treatment. Future Medicinal Chem. 9 (8), 781–795. https://doi.org/10.4155/fmc-2017-0031.
- Larsson, S.C., Markus, H.S., 2017. Branched-chain amino acids and Alzheimer's disease: a Mendelian randomization analysis. Sci. Reports 7 (1), 13604. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-12931-1.
- Liu, J., Chen, D., Wang, Z., Chen, C., Ning, D., Zhao, S., 2019. Protective effect of walnut on d-galactose-induced aging mouse model. Food Sci. Nutrit. 7 (3), 969–976. https://doi.org/10.1002/ fsn3.907.
- Mapstone, M., Cheema, A.K., Fiandaca, M.S., Zhong, X., Mhyre, T. R., MacArthur, L.H., Hall, W.J., Fisher, S.G., Peterson, D.R., Haley, J.M., Nazar, M.D., Rich, S.A., Berlau, D.J., Peltz, C.B., Tan, M.T., Kawas, C.H., Federoff, H.J., 2014. Plasma phospholipids identify antecedent memory impairment in older adults. Nature Med. 20 (4), 415–418. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3466.
- Martín-Belmonte, A., Aguado, C., Alfaro-Ruíz, R., Moreno-Martínez, A.E., de la Ossa, L., Martínez-Hernández, J., Buisson, A., Früh, S., Bettler, B., Shigemoto, R., Fukazawa, Y., Luján, R., 2020. Reduction in the neuronal surface of post and presynaptic GABAB receptors in the hippocampus in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Brain Pathol. (Zurich, Switzerland) 30 (3), 554–575. https://doi.org/10.1111/bpa.12802.
- McCarty, M.F., DiNicolantonio, J.J., 2017. Neuroprotective potential of high-dose biotin. Medical Hypotheses 109, 145–149. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.mehy.2017.10.012.
- McKhann, G.M., Knopman, D.S., Chertkow, H., Hyman, B.T., Jack Jr, C.R., Kawas, C.H., Klunk, W.E., Koroshetz, W.J., Manly, J.J., Mayeux, R., Mohs, R.C., Morris, J.C., Rossor, M.N., Scheltens, P., Carrillo, M.C., Thies, B., Weintraub, S., Phelps, C.H., 2011. The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: recommen-

dations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimer's & Dementia: J. Alzheimer's Assoc. 7 (3), 263–269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2011.03.005.

- Nicholson, J.K., Lindon, J.C., 2008. Systems biology: Metabonomics. Nature 455 (7216), 1054–1056. https://doi.org/10.1038/4551054a.
- Pei, H., Ma, L., Cao, Y., Wang, F., Li, Z., Liu, N., Liu, M., Wei, Y., Li, H., 2020. Traditional Chinese Medicine for Alzheimer's Disease and Other Cognitive Impairment: A Review. Am. J. Chinese Med. 48 (3), 487–511. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0192415X20500251.
- Peña-Bautista, C., Roca, M., Hervás, D., Cuevas, A., López-Cuevas, R., Vento, M., Baquero, M., García-Blanco, A., Cháfer-Pericás, C., 2019. Plasma metabolomics in early Alzheimer's disease patients diagnosed with amyloid biomarker. J. Proteom. 200, 144–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2019.04.008.
- Polis, B., Samson, A.O., 2020. Role of the metabolism of branchedchain amino acids in the development of Alzheimer's disease and other metabolic disorders. Neural Regeneration Res. 15 (8), 1460– 1470. https://doi.org/10.4103/1673-5374.274328.
- Prado, E.L., Dewey, K.G., 2014. Nutrition and brain development in early life. Nutrition Rev. 72 (4), 267–284. https://doi.org/10.1111/ nure.12102.
- Rijpma, A., van der Graaf, M., Meulenbroek, O., Olde Rikkert, M., Heerschap, A., 2018. Altered brain high-energy phosphate metabolism in mild Alzheimer's disease: A 3-dimensional 31P MR spectroscopic imaging study. NeuroImage. Clin. 18, 254–261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2018.01.031.
- Socha, E., Kośliński, P., Koba, M., Mądra-Gackowska, K., Kędziora-Kornatowska, K., Gackowski, M., Daghir-Wojtkowiak, E., 2020. Amino Acid Levels as Potential Biomarker of Elderly Patients with Dementia. Brain Sci. 10 (12), 914. https://doi.org/10.3390/ brainsci10120914.
- Sun, J., Feng, X., Liang, D., Duan, Y., Lei, H., 2012. Down-regulation of energy metabolism in Alzheimer's disease is a protective response of neurons to the microenvironment. J. Alzheimer's Dis.: JAD 28 (2), 389–402. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-2011-111313.

- Toledo, J.B., Arnold, M., Kastenmüller, G., Chang, R., Baillie, R.A., Han, X., Thambisetty, M., Tenenbaum, J.D., Suhre, K., Thompson, J.W., John-Williams, L.S., MahmoudianDehkordi, S., Rotroff, D.M., Jack, J.R., Motsinger-Reif, A., Risacher, S.L., Blach, C., Lucas, J.E., Massaro, T., Louie, G., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative and the Alzheimer Disease Metabolomics Consortium, 2017. Metabolic network failures in Alzheimer's disease: A biochemical road map. Alzheimer's & Dementia: J. Alzheimer's Assoc. 13 (9), 965–984. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jalz.2017.01.020.
- Wang, R., Reddy, P.H., 2017. Role of Glutamate and NMDA Receptors in Alzheimer's Disease. J. Alzheimer's Dis.: JAD 57 (4), 1041–1048. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-160763.
- Whiley, L., Sen, A., Heaton, J., Proitsi, P., García-Gómez, D., Leung, R., Smith, N., Thambisetty, M., Kloszewska, I., Mecocci, P., Soininen, H., Tsolaki, M., Vellas, B., Lovestone, S., Legido-Quigley, C., AddNeuroMed Consortium, 2014. Evidence of altered phosphatidylcholine metabolism in Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol. Aging 35 (2), 271–278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neurobiolaging.2013.08.001.
- Wong, M.W., Braidy, N., Poljak, A., Pickford, R., Thambisetty, M., Sachdev, P.S., 2017. Dysregulation of lipids in Alzheimer's disease and their role as potential biomarkers. Alzheimer's Dementia: J. Alzheimer's Assoc. 13 (7), 810–827. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jalz.2017.01.008.
- Zhang, H., Sun, Y., Qian, S., Ge, R., Guo, X., Shen, Q., Sheng, L., Nie, C., Zhang, Y., Yao, Y., Zhou, T., Wang, W., Xue, W., Chen, G., 2020. Yueju-Ganmaidazao Decoction confers rapid antidepressant-like effects and the involvement of suppression of NMDA/NO/cGMP signaling. J. Ethnopharmacol. 250, 112380. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2019.112380.
- Zhang, F., Wei, J., Li, X., Ma, C., Gao, Y., 2018. Early Candidate Urine Biomarkers for Detecting Alzheimer's Disease Before Amyloid-β Plaque Deposition in an APP (swe)/PSEN1dE9 Transgenic Mouse Model. J. Alzheimer's Dis.: JAD 66 (2), 613–637. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-180412.