

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

King Saud University

Arabian Journal of Chemistry

www.ksu.edu.sa www.sciencedirect.com



An efficient one-pot multi component synthesis of polyhydroquinoline derivatives through Hantzsch reaction catalysed by Gadolinium triflate



S. Sheik Mansoor *, K. Aswin, K. Logaiya, S.P.N. Sudhan

Research Department of Chemistry, Bioactive Organic Molecule Synthetic Unit, C. Abdul Hakeem College, Vellore, Melvisharam 632 509, Tamil Nadu, India

Received 21 November 2011; accepted 31 October 2012 Available online 29 November 2012

KEYWORDS

Gadolinium triflate; 1,4-Dihydropyridine; Hantzsch reaction; One-pot synthesis; Multi component reaction **Abstract** Gadolinium(III) trifluoromethanesulfonate (Gadolinium triflate) $Gd(OTf)_3$ catalysed efficient Hantzsch reaction via four-component coupling reactions of aldehydes, 5,5-dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexaedione (dimedone), ethyl acetoacetate and ammonium acetate at ambient temperature was described as the preparation of polyhydroquinoline derivatives. The process presented here is operationally simple, environmentally benign and has excellent yield. Furthermore, the catalyst can be recovered conveniently and reused efficiently.

© 2012 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

1. Introduction

Multi component reactions (Domling and Ugi, 2000; Ugi, 2001, 2003; Zhu, 2003; Domling, 2006) allow the creation of several bonds in a single operation and are attracting increasing attention as one of the most powerful emerging synthetic tools for the creation of molecular diversity and complexity (Burke and Schreiber, 2004). They also have considerable advantages in terms of user and environmental friendliness because of the step reduction and atom economy associated to their use.

4-Substituted 1,4-dihydropyridines (DHPs) comprise a large family of medicinally important compounds. In recent

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 9944093020.

E-mail address: smansoors2000@yahoo.co.in (S. Sheik Mansoor). Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.



years, an increasing interest has been focused on the synthesis of 1,4-dihydropyridine compounds owing to their significant biological activity (Di Stilo et al., 1998; Kawase et al., 2002; Suarez et al., 2003). In particular, dihydropyridine drugs such as nifedipine, nicardipine, amlodipine (Fig. 1) and others are effective cardiovascular agents for the treatment of hypertension (Buhler and Kiowski, 1987; Reid et al., 1985). 4-Aryl-1,4-dihydropyridines are analogues of NADH coenzymes, which have been explored for their calcium channel activity and the heterocyclic rings are found in a variety of bioactive compounds such as vasodilator, bronchodilator, antiatherosclerotic, antitumour, antidiabetic, geroprotective and heptaprotective agents (Godfraid et al., 1986; Sausins and Duburs, 1988; Mager et al., 1992; Mannhold et al., 1992). Quinolines having 1,4-dihydropyridine nucleus are very important compounds because of their pharmacological properties. Members of this family are being used as antimalarial, anti-inflammatory, anti-asthmatic, antibacterial and tyrosine kinase inhibiting agents (Chen et al., 2001; Roma et al., 2000).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2012.10.017

1878-5352 © 2012 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).



Figure 1 Dihydropyridine drugs.

Numerous methods have been reported for the synthesis of polyhydroquinoline derivatives. The classical methods involve the three-component condensation of an aldehyde with ethyl acetoacetate, and ammonia in acetic acid or in refluxing alcohol (Hantzsch and Liebigs, 1882; Loev and Snader, 1965).

In recent years, several new efficient methods have been developed including the use of MCM-41 (Nagarapu et al., 2007), microwave (Tu et al., 2001), TMS iodide (Sabitha et al., 2003), ionic liquid (Ji et al., 2004), autoclave (Watanabe et al., 1983), fluoroboric acid (Chen et al., 2007), K₇[PW₁₁CoO₄₀] (Heravi et al., 2007), metal triflates (Wang et al., 2005; Donelson et al., 2006), molecular iodine (Ko et al., 2005), silica-supported acids (Maheswara et al., 2006; Gupta et al., 2007), ceric ammonium nitrate (Ko and Yao, 2006; Reddy and Raghu, 2008), PTSA-SDS (Kumar and Maurya, 2008), tris(pentafluorophenyl)borane (Chandrasekhar et al., 2008), boronic acids (Sridhar and Perumal, 2005; Debache et al., 2008), grinding (Kumar et al., 2008), organocatalyst (Kumar and Maurya, 2007; Baghbanian et al., 2010) and Hafnium(IV)bis(perfluorooctanesulfonyl) imide complex (Hong et al., 2010). These methods, however, suffer from drawbacks such as unsatisfactory yields, acidic or basic catalysts, extended reaction times, elevated temperatures, tedious work-up, anhydrous organic solvents and the use of stoichiometric and/or relatively expensive reagents. Moreover, the main disadvantage of almost all existing methods is that the catalysts are destroyed in the work-up procedure and cannot be recovered or reused. Therefore, the search continues for a better catalyst for the synthesis of 1,4-DHPs and polyhydroquinolines in terms of operational simplicity, reusability, economic viability, and greater selectivity.

Lanthanide triflates are unique Lewis acids that are currently of great research interest. They are quite stable in water and reusable, as well as highly effective for the activation of nitrogen containing compounds. Therefore, lanthanide triflates are unique catalysts compared to conventional Lewis acids in several carbon–carbon bond forming reactions and have found a wide application in organic synthesis (Green and Wuts, 1999). In addition, these metal triflates can be used either in aqueous or in non-aqueous media and the reactions can be conveniently carried out under mild conditions and do not require anhydrous conditions or an inert atmosphere. In 1994 Kobayashi and Hachiya (1994) used Godolinium triflate as a water-tolerant Lewis acid in the aldol reactions of silyl enol ethers with aldehydes in aqueous media. Gadolinium triflate has been used extensively as Lewis acid catalyst in acetylation of alcohols and amines (Alleti et al., 2005), alkylation of pyrroles (Unaleroglu and Yazici, 2007), Michael additions (Alleti et al., 2008) and acetylation of alcohols and phenols (Yoon et al., 2008).

Gadolinium triflate has several advantages over other Lewis acids, it is stable in water and therefore does not decompose under aqueous work-up conditions, unlike other conventional Lewis acids. Thus, recyclization of the Gadolinium triflate is often possible and renders the procedure relatively environmentally acceptable by utilizing these properties; this catalyst has been successfully applied to several synthetic reactions. However, there is no report on the use of Gadolinium triflate for the synthesis of polyhydroquinoline derivatives.

In continuation of our interest towards the development of new routes to the synthesis of heterocyclic compounds, such as 3,4-dihydropyrimidin-2(1*H*)-ones/-thiones/imines (Mansoor et al., 2016), β -amino ketone compounds (Mansoor et al., 2012a), amidoalkyl naphthols (Mansoor et al., 2012b) and 2-amino-4,6-diphenylpyridine-3-carbonitrile derivatives (Mansoor et al., 2012c) by multi-component reactions, we turned our attention towards the one-pot synthesis of polyhydroquinoline derivatives through Hantzsch a four component coupling reaction of aldehyde, dimedone, ethyl acetoacetate, and ammonium acetate in the presence of a reusable Gadolinium triflate catalyst at room temperature. In this paper, we wish to highlight our finding about the Gd(OTf)₃ catalysed four-component Hantzsch reaction using ethanol as a solvent at ambient temperature.

2. Experimental

2.1. Methods and apparatus

All reactions were performed at room temperature. All chemicals were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. and solvents were used without further purification. Analytical thin-layer chromatography was performed with E. Merck silica gel 60F glass plates. Visualization of the developed chromatogram was performed by UV light. Melting points were determined with Shimadzu DS-50 thermal analyser. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at Bruker AM 300 (300 MHz) in CDCl₃ using TMS as internal standard. FT-IR spectra were obtained as KBr discs on Shimadzu spectrometer. Mass spectra were determined on a Saturm 2000GC/MS instrument. Elemental analysis was measured by means of Perkin Elmer 2400 CHN elemental analyser flowchart.

2.2. General experimental procedure for the synthesis of polyhydroquinolines

Aldehyde (2 mmol), dimedone (2 mmol), ammonium acetate (2 mmol), ethyl acetoacetate (2 mmol) and $Gd(OTf)_3$ (5 mol%) in ethanol (5 mL) were successively charged into a 50 mL round bottomed flask, equipped with a magnetic stirrer. Then the reaction mixture proceeded at room temperature for about 5–6 h and a solid product was gradually formed. After completion of reaction as indicated by thin

layer chromatography (TLC), the resulting solid product was filtered and recrystallized to give the pure product. The filtrate was concentrated and then diluted with ethyl acetate, washed with water and the aqueous layer containing the catalyst could be evaporated under reduced pressure to give a white solid, which could be reused without losing catalytic activity.

2.3. Spectral data for the synthesized compounds

2.3.1. 2,7,7-Trimethyl-5-oxo-4-phenyl-1,4,5,6,7,8-

hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (compound **4a**)

IR (KBr): 3285, 3080, 2960, 1696, 1610, 1530 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 0.93 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 2.13–2.32 (m, 4H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 4.05 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.05 (s, 1H), 6.21 (s, 1H), 7.06–7.31 (m, 5H). MS (EI): m/z 339 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₁H₂₅NO₃: C, 74.34; H, 7.37; N, 4.13. Found: C, 74.45; H, 7.40; N, 4.10%.

2.3.2. 2,7,7-Trimethyl-5-oxo-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (compound **4b**)

IR (KBr): 3275, 3075, 2965, 1705, 1650, 1605 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 0.93 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.12–2.35 (m, 4H), 2.37 (m, 3H), 4.00 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 6.13 (s, 1H), 7.15 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H). MS (EI): m/z 374 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₁H₂₄ClNO₃: C, 67.48; H, 6.43; N, 3.75. Found: C, 67.45; H, 6.38; N, 3.80%.

2.3.3. 2,7,7-Trimethyl-5-oxo-4-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (compound 4c)

IR (KBr): 3365, 2955, 1700, 1645, 1590, 1480, 1385, 1220,782 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 0.93 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.09–2.22 (m, 3H), 2.20–2.34 (m, 4H), 4.06 (q, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 4.98 (s, 1H), 5.61 (s, 1H), 6.10 (s, 1H), 6.65 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H). MS (EI): m/z 355 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₁H₂₅NO₄: C, 70.99; H, 7.04; N, 3.94. Found: C, 70.96; H, 7.00; N, 3.90%.

2.3.4. 2,7,7-Trimethyl-5-oxo-4-(4-methylphenyl)-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (compound 4d)

IR (KBr): 3275, 3080, 2960, 1700, 1650 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 0.94 (s, 3H), 1.08 (s, 3H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 2.10–2.24 (m, 4H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 4.06 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 5.03 (s, 1H), 5.96 (s, 1H), 7.02 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.19 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H). MS (EI): m/z 353 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₇NO₃: C, 74.79; H, 7.65; N, 3.97. Found: C, 74.84; H, 7.69; N, 3.95%.

2.3.5. 2,7,7-Trimethyl-5-oxo-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (compound 4e)

IR (KBr): 3275, 3085, 2960, 1705, 1605, 1498, 1382, 1217, 1032, 766 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 0.94 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.13–2.27 (m, 3H), 2.31–2.37 (m, 4H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 4.06 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.00 (s, 1H), 6.01 (s, 1H), 6.72–6.75 (m, 2H), 7.20–7.26 (m,

2H). MS (EI): m/z 369 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₂H₂₇NO₄: C, 71.54; H, 7.32; N, 3.79. Found: C, 71.50; H, 7.28; N, 3.83%.

2.3.6. 2,7,7-Trimethyl-5-oxo-4-(4-bromophenyl)-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (compound 4f) IR (KBr): 3285, 2960, 1702, 1605, 1510, 1380, 1230, 1020, 764 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 0.93 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.19–2.27 (m, 3H), 2.34– 2.41 (m, 4H), 4.05 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.03 (s, 1H), 5.78 (s, 1H), 7.19 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H). MS (EI): m/z 417 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₁H₂₄BrNO₃: C, 60.30; H, 5.74; N, 3.35. Found: C, 60.35; H, 5.78; N, 3.33%.

2.3.7. 2,7,7-Trimethyl-5-oxo-4-(4-nitrophenyl)-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (compound **4**g)

IR (KBr): 3288, 3077, 2964, 1705, 1605, 1530 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 0.93 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.22 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 2.12–2.41 (m, 4H), 2.12–2.32 (m, 3H) 3.99 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 5.15 (s, 1H), 6.86 (s, 1H), 7.35 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.72 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H). MS (EI): m/z 384 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₁H₂₄N₂O₅: C, 65.62; H, 6.25; N, 7.29. Found: C, 65.60; H, 6.22; N, 7.32%.

2.3.8. 2,7,7-Trimethyl-5-oxo-4-(4-fluorophenyl)-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (compound **4h**)

IR (KBr): 3290, 2960, 1695, 1610, 1490, 1380, 1220, 1025, 764 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 0.93 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.12–2.35 (m, 4H), 2.37 (m, 3H), 4.06 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 6.13 (s, 1H), 7.15 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H). MS (EI): m/z 357 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₁H₂₄FNO₃: C, 70.59; H, 6.72; N, 3.92. Found: C, 70.64; H, 6.70; N, 3.88%.

2.3.9. 2,7,7-Trimethyl-5-oxo-4-(3-bromophenyl)-1,4,5,6,7,8-

hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (compound **4**i) IR (KBr): 3290, 2960, 1700, 1612, 1500, 1385, 1220, 1020, 764 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 0.93 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.12–2.35 (m, 4H), 2.37 (m, 3H), 4.06 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 6.13 (s, 1H), 7.15 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H). MS (EI): m/z 417 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₁H₂₄BrNO₃: C, 60.30; H, 5.74; N, 3.79. Found: C, 60.25; H, 5.70; N, 3.75%.

2.3.10. 2,7,7-Trimethyl-5-oxo-4-(4-dimethylaminophenyl)-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (compound **4j**)

IR (KBr): 3285, 3080, 2960, 1705, 1605, 1530 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 0.93 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.12–2.35 (m, 4H), 2.87 (s, 6H), 2.37 (m, 3H), 4.06 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 6.13 (s, 1H), 7.15 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H). MS (EI): m/z 382 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₃H₃₀N₂O₃: C, 72.25; H, 7.85; N, 7.33. Found: C, 72.30; H, 7.88; N, 7.32%.

2.3.11. 2,7,7-Trimethyl-5-oxo-4-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)-

1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (compound 4k)

IR (KBr): 3285, 3080, 2960, 1705, 1650, 1600, 1520 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 0.93 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.12–2.35 (m, 4H), 2.37 (m, 3H), 4.06

2.3.12. 2,7,7-Trimethyl-5-oxo-4-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (compound **41**)

IR (KBr): 3282, 3080, 2960, 1710, 1650, 1600, 1490 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 0.93 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.12–2.35 (m, 4H), 2.37 (m, 3H), 4.06 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 6.13 (s, 1H), 7.25 (m, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H). MS (EI): m/z 407 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₁H₂₃Cl₂NO₃: C, 61.78; H, 5.64; N, 3.43. Found: C, 61.75; H, 5.62; N, 3.45%.

2.3.13. 2,7,7-Trimethyl-5-oxo-4-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (compound 4m)

IR (KBr): 3245, 2955, 1696, 1650, 1605, 1505, 1380, 1217, 1027, 753 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 0.93 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.12–2.35 (m, 4H), 2.37 (m, 3H), 4.06 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 6.13 (s, 1H), 7.08 (m, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H). MS (EI): m/z 399 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₃H₂₉NO₅: C, 69.17; H, 7.27; N, 3.51. Found: C, 69.20; H, 7.30; N, 3.46%.

2.3.14. 2,7,7-Trimethyl-5-oxo-4-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (compound 4n)

IR (KBr): 3385, 2954, 1701, 1644, 1509, 1497, 1385, 1218, 1025, 782 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 0.93 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.12–2.35 (m, 4H), 2.37 (m, 3H), 5.61 (s, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H),4.06 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 6.13 (s, 1H), 7.10 (m, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H). MS (EI): m/z 385 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₂H₂₇NO₅: C, 68.57; H, 7.01; N, 3.64. Found: C, 68.56; H, 7.06; N, 3.60%.

2.3.15. 2,7,7-Trimethyl-5-oxo-4-cinnamyl-1,4,5,6,7,8hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (compound

hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (compound 40)

IR (KBr): 3300, 2966, 1695, 1602, 1502 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 0.93 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.12–2.35 (m, 4H), 2.37 (m, 3H), 4.06 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 6.13 (s, 1H), 7.10–7.38 (m, 5H) 7.18 (m, 1H), 7.38(d, 1H). MS (EI): m/z 365 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₃H₂₇NO₃: C, 75.62; H, 7.40; N, 3.83. Found: C, 75.60; H, 7.45; N, 3.85%.

2.3.16. 2,7,7-Trimethyl-5-oxo-4-(4-methoxycinnamyl)-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (compound **4p**)

IR (KBr): 3285, 2966, 1704, 1605, 1530 cm^{-1} ; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ : 0.93 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 2.12–2.35 (m, 4H), 2.37 (m, 3H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 4.06 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 6.13 (s, 1H), 7.15 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H) 7.18 (m, 1H), 7.38(d, 1H). MS (EI): m/z 395 (M⁺). Anal. Calcd. for C₂₄H₂₉NO₄: C, 72.91; H, 7.34; N, 3.54. Found: C, 72.90; H, 7.38; N, 3.50%.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Effect of catalysis

In recent years, metal triflates have received considerable attention as a mild Lewis acid for an array of organic transfor-



Scheme 1 Optimizing the reaction conditions.

 Table 1
 The reaction of benzaldehyde, ethyl acetoacetate, dimedone and ammonium acetate: effect of catalysis.^a

Entry	Catalyst	Amount of catalyst (mol%)	Time (h)	Yield ^b
1	None		24	32
2	$ZnCl_2$	100	24	42
3	AlCl ₃	100	24	48
4	FeCl ₃	100	24	40
5	NdCl ₃	25	12	70
6	La(OTf) ₃	10	12	76
7	Nd(OTf) ₃	20	24	60
8	Yb(OTf) ₃	10	6	80
9	$Gd(OTf)_3$	10	5	82
10	Gd(OTf) ₃	5	5	89
11	$Gd(OTf)_3$	1	5	72
12	Gd(OTf) ₃	5	5	89, 90, 91, 89 ^c

а	All reactions were carried	out in	ethanol	at room	temperature.
b	Isolated vields				-

^c Catalyst was reused four times.



Scheme 2 $Ga(OTf)_3$ catalysed synthesis of polyhydroquinoline derivatives *via* the Hantzsch reaction.

Table 2	The	reaction	of	benzaldehyde,	ethyl	acetoacetate,
dimedone	and	ammoniu	m a	acetate: effect o	f solve	ent.

Entry	Solvent	Time (h)	Yield%
1	Ethanol	5	89
2	Methanol	5	78
3	Acetonitrile	5	80
4	t-BuOH	8	58
5	1,4-Dioxane	8	53
6	Acetone	5	50
7	Toluene	24	24
8	DCM	24	34
9	Cyclohexane	24	20

Entry	R	Product	Time (h)	Yield (%)	Melting point (°C)
1	СНО	4a	5	89	204–206
2	СІ	4b	5.5	83	250–252
3	НОСНО	4c	6	85	228–230
4	H ₃ C CHO	4d	5	89	260–262
5	H ₃ CO CHO	4e	5	88	258–260
6	Br	4f	5.5	85	252–254
7	O ₂ N CHO	4g	5	82	244–246
8	F CHO	4h	6	88	184–186
9	Br CHO	4i	5.5	85	234–236
10	H ₃ C CHO	4j	6	84	232–234
	H ₃ C N H ₃ C				

Table 3 Synthesis of polyhydroquinolines 4a–4p from the four components aldehydes, dimedone, ethyl acetoacetate and ammoniumacetate at room temperature in ethanol.

Table 3 (continued)						
Entry	R	Product	Time (h)	Yield (%)	Melting point °C	
11	СІСІСНО	4k	5.5	85	242–244	
12	CI CHO	41	5.5	83	214-216	
13	H ₃ CO H ₃ CO	4m	6	89	197–199	
14	H ₃ CO HO	4n	5.5	88	211–213	
15	СН=СНСНО	40	6	86	204–206	
16	H ₃ CO	4p	6	86	198–200	

mation (Wang et al., 2005; Donelson et al., 2006). Our initial work started with the screening of catalyst loading so as to identify optimal reaction conditions for the synthesis of polyhydroquinoline derivatives. The mixture of benzaldehyde, dimedone, ethyl acetoacetate and ammonium acetate was chosen as the model reaction (Scheme 1) to detect whether the use of Gadolinium triflate was efficient and investigate the optimized conditions. The results were summarized in Table 1.

First of all, a number of Lewis acid catalysts such as $ZnCl_2$, AlCl₃, FeCl₃, NdCl₃, La(OTf)₃, Nd(OTf)₃, Yb(OTf)₃ and Gd(OTf)₃ have been screened using the model reaction in ethanol (Table 1). Gd(OTf)₃ was found to be the best catalyst under these conditions. The results show that Gd(OTf)₃ (5 mol%) is effective for good yield (Table 1, entry 10). The Hantzsch condensation of dimedone, benzaldehyde, ethyl acetoacetate, and ammonium acetate in the presence of Gd(OTf)₃ at room temperature results in the formation of 2,7,7-Trimethyl-5-oxo-4-phenyl-1,4,5,6,7,8-hexahydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid ethyl ester in 89% yield (Scheme 2).

After the reaction was completed, the product was filtered directly and the catalyst can be extracted by water from the

residue. Lanthanide triflates are more soluble in water than in organic solvents. The catalyst could be recovered almost quantitatively from the aqueous layer, which could be subsequently reused several times. As indicated in Table 1, it showed almost no loss of activity after four successive runs. The yields obtained were from 91% to 88% (with yields of product 4a being 89%, 90%, 91%, 88% in the first, second, third and fourth run, respectively). In view of environmentally friendly methodologies, recovery and reuse of the catalyst is highly preferable.

3.2. Effect of solvent

To handle the procedure more easily, we then continued to optimize the model process mentioned above by detecting the efficiency of several classic solvents chosen as the medium for comparison (Table 2). In each case, the substrates were mixed together with 5 mol% Gd(OTf)₃ agitated with 3–5 ml solvent. As indicated in Table 2, the polar solvents such as ethanol, methanol and acetonitrile (entry 1–3) were much better than non-polar solvents (entry 4–9). The results could be

interpreted with much better solubility of the catalyst and the reagents in the polar solvents. When acetone was applied (entry 6), it was found that the reaction proceeded quickly but the obtained yellow solid contained many other by-products which were probably due to the fast self-assembling of reagents or some competitive reactions promoted by $Gd(OTf)_3$ in acetone. Thus, we selected the optimized reaction condition to study the universality of the application of the catalyst.

3.3. Synthesis of various polyhydroquinoline derivatives

A variety of aromatic aldehydes were selected to undergo the Hantzsch reaction in the presence of catalytic amount of $Gd(OTf)_3$ in ethanol at room temperature (Scheme 2). The results of this study are summarized in Table 3. As can be seen from the results in Table 3, aromatic aldehydes containing both electron withdrawing and electron donating groups reacted smoothly to produce moderate to high yields of products.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we successfully developed a facile and efficient method for preparing a variety of 4-substituted-1,4-dihydropyridines from the reactions of different aromatic aldehydes, dimedone, ethyl acetoacetate and ammonium acetate in the presence of a catalytic amount of $Gd(OTf)_3$ at room temperature. The catalytic activity of $Gd(OTf)_3$ is remarkable and the use of the environmentally benign, commercially available $Gd(OTf)_3$ as catalyst in the synthesis of 4-substituted-1,4-dihydropyridines in good yield is also significant. The present method has many obvious advantages compared to those reported in the previous literature, including the avoidance of discharging harmful organic solvents, the generality, the simplicity of the methodology and recycling of the catalyst.

Acknowledgements

Author Mansoor gratefully acknowledges University Grants Commission, Government of India, New Delhi for the financial support (Major Research Project 40-44/2011(SR)). The authors wish to thank C. Abdul Hakeem College Management, Dr. W. Abdul Hameed, Principal, Dr. M.S. Dastageer, Head of the Research Department of Chemistry for the facilities and support.

References

- Alleti, R., Perambuduru, M., Samantha, S., Reddy, V.P., 2005. J. Mol. Cat. A: Chem. 226, 57.
- Alleti, R., Oh, W.S., Perambuduru, M., Ramana, C.V., Reddy, V.P., 2008. Tetrahedron Lett. 49, 3466.
- Baghbanian, S.M., Khaksar, S., Vahdat, S.M., Farhang, M., Tajbakhsh, M., 2010. Chin. Chem. Lett. 21, 563.
- Burke, M.D., Schreiber, S.L., 2004. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 43, 46.
- Buhler, F.R., Kiowski, W.J., 1987. Hypertens S3, 5. Chin. Chem. Lett. 21, 563.
- Chandrasekhar, S., Rao, Y.S., Sreelakshmi, L., Mahipal, B., Reddy, C.R., 2008. Synthesis, 1737.
- Chen, W.Y., Qin, S.D., Jin, J.R., 2007. Catal. Commun. 8, 123.
- Chen, Y.L., Fang, K.C., Sheu, J.Y., Hsu, S.I., Tzeng, C.C., 2001. J. Med. Chem. 44, 2374.

- Debache, R., Boulcina, R., Belfaitah, A., Rhouati, S., Carboni, B., 2008. Synletter, 509.
- Di Stilo, A., Visentin, S., Clara, C., Gasco, A.M., Ermondi, G., Gasco, A., 1998. J. Med. Chem. 41, 5393.
- Domling, A., 2006. Chem. Rev. 106, 17.
- Domling, A., Ugi, I., 2000. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 39, 3168.
- Donelson, J.L., Gibbs, R.A., De, S.K., 2006. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 256, 309.
- Godfraid, T., Miller, R., Wibo, M., 1986. Pharmacol. Rev. 38, 321.
- Green, T.W., Wuts, P.G.M., 1999. Productive Groups in Organic Synthesis, third ed. Wiley, New York.
- Gupta, R., Paul, S., Loupy, A., 2007. Synthesis, 2835.
- Hantzsch, A., Liebigs, 1882. J. Ann. Chem. 1, 215.
- Heravi, M.M., Bakhtiari, K., Javadi, N.M., Bamoharram, F.F., Saeedi, M., Oskooie, H.O., 2007. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 264, 50.
- Hong, M., Cai, C., Yi, W.B., 2010. J. Fluorine Chem. 131, 111.
- Ji, S.J., Jiang, Z.Q., Lu, J., Loh, T.P., 2004. Synletter, 831.
- Kawase, M., Shah, A., Gaveriya, H., Motohashi, N., Sakagami, H., Varga, A., Molnar, J.J., 2002. Bioorg. Chem. 10, 1051.
- Kobayashi, S., Hachiya, I., 1994. J. Org. Chem. 59, 3590.
- Ko, S., Sastry, M.N.V., Lin, C., Yao, C.F., 2005. Tetrahedron Lett. 46, 5771.
- Ko, S., Yao, C.F., 2006. Tetrahedron Lett. 62, 7293.
- Kumar, A., Maurya, R.A., 2008. Synletter 6, 883.
- Kumar, S., Sharma, P., Kapoor, K.K., Hundal, M.S., 2008. Tetrahedron 64, 536.
- Kumar, A., Maurya, R.A., 2007. Tetrahedron 63, 1946.
- Loev, B., Snader, K.M., 1965. J. Org. Chem. 30, 1914.
- Mager, P.P., Coburn, R.A., Solo, A.J., Triggle, D.J., Rothe, H., 1992. Drug Des. Discovery 8, 273.
- Maheswara, M., Siddaiah, V., Rao, Y.K., Tzeng, Y., Sridhar, C.J., 2006. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 17, 179.
- Mannhold, R., Jablonka, B., Voigdt, W., Schoenafinger, K., Schravan, K., 1992. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 27, 229.
- Mansoor, S.S., Shafi, S.S., Ahmed, S.Z., 2016. An efficient one-pot multi component synthesis of 3,4-dihydropyrimidine-2-(1H)-ones/ thiones/imines via a Lewis base catalyzed Biginelli-type reaction under solvent-free conditions. Arab. J. Chem. 9, S846–S851.
- Mansoor, S.S., Aswin, K., Logaiya, K., Sudhan, S.P.N., 2012a. An efficient synthesis of β-amino ketone compounds through one-pot three-component Mannich-type reactions using bismuth nitrate as catalyst. J. Saudi Chem. Soc., http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.jscs.2012.04.008.
- Mansoor, S.S., Aswin, K., Logaiya, K., Sudhan, S.P.N., 2012b. ZrOCl₂·8H₂O: an efficient and recyclable catalyst for the threecomponent synthesis of amidoalkyl naphthols under solvent-free conditions. J. Saudi Chem. Soc., http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.jscs.2012.06.003.
- Mansoor, S.S., Aswin, K., Logaiya, K., Sudhan, S.P.N., 2012c. [Bmim]BF₄ ionic liquid: an efficient reaction medium for the onepot multi-component synthesis of 2-amino-4,6-diphenyl pyridine-3carbonitrile derivatives. J. Saudi Chem. Soc., http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jscs.2012.07.011.
- Nagarapu, L., Kumari, M.D., Kumari, N.V., Kantevari, S., 2007. Catal. Commun. 8, 1871.
- Reddy, C.S., Raghu, M., 2008. Chin. Chem. Lett. 19, 775.
- Reid, J.L., Meredith, P.A., Pasanisi, F.J., 1985. Cardiovasc. Pharmacol. S18, 7.
- Roma, G., Braccio, M.D., Grossi, G., Chia, 2000. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 35, 1021.
- Sabitha, G., Reddy, G.S.K.K., Reddy, C.S., Yadav, J.S., 2003. Tetrahedron Lett. 44, 4129.
- Sausins, A., Duburs, G., 1988. Heterocycles 27, 269.
- Sridhar, R., Perumal, P.T., 2005. Tetrahedron 61, 2465.
- Suarez, M., Verdecia, Y., Illescas, B., Martinez-Alvarez, R., Avarez, A., Ochoa, E., Seoane, C., Kayali, N., Martin, N., 2003. Tetrahedron 59, 9179.

- Tu, S.J., Zhou, J.F., Deng, X., Cai, P.J., Wang, H., Feng, J.C., 2001. Chin. J. Org. Chem. 21, 313.
- Ugi, A., 2001. Pure Appl. Chem. 73, 187.
- Ugi, A., 2003. Molecules 8, 53.
- Unaleroglu, C., Yazici, A., 2007. Tetrahedron 63, 5608.
- Wang, L.M., Sheng, J., Zhang, L., Han, J.W., Fan, Z., Tian, H., Qian, C.T., 2005. Tetrahedron 61, 1539.
- Watanabe, Y., Shiota, K., Hoshiko, T., Ozaki, S., 1983. Synthesis, 761.
 Yoon, H.J., Lee, S.M., Kim, J.H., Cho, H.J., Choi, J.W., Lee, S.H., Lee, Y.S., 2008. Tetrahedron Lett. 49, 3165.
 Zhu, J., 2003. Eur. J. Org. Chem., 1133.