



Original Article

Arabian Journal of Chemistry



2025 18 (4) 862024

Electrocatalytic decomposition mechanism of hydroxyamine nitrate on the Cu(111) surface

Men Li^a, Tianpeng Li^{a,*}, Xinbao Gao^a, Yin Huang^{b,*}

^aNational Demonstration Center of Experimental Teaching for Ammunition Support and Safety Evaluation Education, Army Engineering University of PLA, Hebei Shijiazhuang 050000, China ^bHubei Institute of Aerospace Chemistry Technology, Hubei Xiangyang 441000, China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Electrocatalytic decomposition Electrode surface Hydroxylamine nitrate Quantum chemistry calculations Reaction barrier

ABSTRACT

Hydroxylamine nitrate (HAN)-based electrically controlled solid propellants are currently the mainstream electrically controlled solid propellants. To gain a deeper understanding of their electrically controlled combustion mechanisms, this study employed quantum chemical simulations to systematically explore the electrocatalytic decomposition of HAN on a Cu(111) surface. The findings indicate that the electrocatalytic decomposition of NO₃ ions on the anode surface is the dominant reaction for O₂ generation, with a reaction barrier significantly lower than that of water electrolysis, demonstrating a pronounced reaction advantage. By optimizing the configurations of adsorbed molecules during the reaction and calculating the barriers for each reaction step, we have detailed the mechanism of HAN's electrocatalytic decomposition on the Cu(111) surface. Additionally, the reaction on a cathode surface is relatively facile, with the key step being the adsorption and decomposition of NH₃OH⁺ ions on the cathode surface. The generation of hydrogen ions in the anode reaction lead to the cathode solution becoming alkaline and the anode solution becoming acidic after electrolysis. These findings provide important theoretical insights into the electrically controlled combustion mechanisms of HAN-based electrically controlled solid propellants.

1. Introduction

Electrically controlled solid propellants, a new variant that can be ignited, burned, and extinguished using electrical energy control, are attracting widespread attention in the controllable propulsion field [1]. At present, most electrically controlled solid propellants are based on hydroxylamine nitrate (HAN) [2], a high-energy oxidant with a high specific impulse and low toxicity [3].

Research on HAN has mainly focused on the mechanism of thermal decomposition. In separate studies using mass spectrometry, Izato et al. [4] and Souagh et al. [5] commonly identified HNO₃, N₂O, and H₂O as thermal decomposition partial products of HAN, but Izato et al. [4] believed that the products also include N₂ and NH₃, and Souagh et al. [5] considered the products also include NO and NO₂. Using an infrared spectrometer, Cheng et al. [6] identified N₂O, NO, H₂O, and NO₂ as the thermal decomposition products of HAN. Meanwhile, the reaction pathway of HAN thermal decomposition has been investigated through quantum chemical simulations. Early studies reported various formation pathways of N₂O. For example, Oxley et al. [7] believed that N₂O is produced by the reaction of intermediate products HNO₂ and NH,OH. In recent years, Izato et al. [4], Taylor et al. [8], and Zhang et al. [9] discovered that N₂O is produced by the reaction of intermediate products HNO and HNO. However, elucidating the electrocatalytic decomposition mechanism of HAN on electrode surfaces is essential for advancing the electrically controlled combustion mechanism of solid propellants. At present, this topic has been scantily researched

and usually focuses on electrolytic effects or product analysis. For example, Koh et al. [10] studied the effects of power supply and electrode materials on the electrocatalytic decomposition of HAN. They demonstrated higher performance with copper or aluminum electrodes than with carbon electrodes and reported that a power supply exceeding 100 W can effectively trigger decomposition. Sun et al. [11] studied the effects of electrolysis voltage and electrode surface area on the electrolysis of HAN solution. They reported an optimal voltage for electrolysis under fixed conditions. This is caused by an inhibition phenomenon at the anode, which can be alleviated by increasing the surface area of the anode electrode. Haung et al. [12] experimentally studied electrolysis in the HAN solution using a U-shaped bottle as the electrolytic cell container, which effectively separates the products of anode and cathode electrolysis. After electrolysis, the cathode and anode solutions became alkaline and acidic, respectively, producing NH₃ on the cathode and O₂ on the anode. Other scholars have studied the catalytic decomposition mechanisms of nitrate on electrode surfaces through quantum chemical simulations, providing useful references for studying the electrocatalytic decomposition mechanism of HAN on electrode surfaces. For example, Zhou et al. [13] studied the catalytic decomposition mechanism of HAN on electrode surfaces in depth. They optimized the adsorption configuration and catalytic decomposition pathway of HAN on Ir(110) surfaces. Calle-Vallejo et al. [14] calculated the variation trend of adsorption energy of nitrate on 20 surfaces of an Ag/Au bimetallic system. They showed that defective Ag/Au surfaces can enhance the catalytic activity of nitrate

*Corresponding authors:

E-mail addresses: ysql123@yeah.net (T. Li), deco168@qq.com (Y. Huang)

Received: 01 January, 2025 Accepted: 25 March, 2025 Epub Ahead of Print: 14 May 2025 Published: 21 May 2025 DOI: 10.25259/AJC_86_2024

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-Share Alike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, transform, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

reduction. Several researchers have also conducted computational studies on the electrocatalytic decomposition of water using quantum chemical simulations, which offer valuable insights. For instance, Ren *et al.* [15] analyzed the hydrogen evolution performance of a novel electrocatalyst, FeCoCuSx/CFF. Their results indicated that the Gibbs free energy of hydrogen adsorption at the Co sites within the CuS-Co9S8 heterostructure is close to zero. This finding suggests that the catalyst exhibits excellent hydrogen evolution performance.

To further elucidate the electrocatalytic decomposition mechanism of HAN on the electrode surface and explain the relevant experimental phenomena, this study builds upon the electrolysis experiments of HAN solution in a U-tube reported by Huang *et al.* [12]. We aim to explore the reaction pathways for the generation of NH₃ and O₂ during the electrocatalytic decomposition of HAN on the Cu (111) surface using quantum chemical simulations.

2. Materials and Methods

Small-molecule calculations were performed using the Gaussian 16 software [16]. The geometric optimization and vibration analysis of some small molecules were calculated using the B3LYP functional at the 6-31G(d) basis-set level. The B3LYP functional is commonly used and provides fast convergence with low dependence on integration lattice points. At the 6-31G(d) basis-set level, the B3LYP functional is sufficiently accurate for geometric optimization and vibration analysis [17]. The free energies of some small molecules were calculated using the coupled-cluster method with single, double, and perturbative triple excitations (CCSD(T)) method at the def2-QZVPP basis-set level. The CCSD (T) method is a high-precision quantum chemistry calculation method with sufficient accuracy at the def2-QZVPP basis-set level [18,19]. In this article, the adsorption structure of molecules on the Cu(111) surface was simulated using the CP2K software [20], which quickly calculates the periodic crystal structure of Cu metal using the density functional theory. Figure 1 is a unit cell model diagram of the Cu(111) surface, a common stable crystal plane. Panels (a) and (b) of this figure present a front and top view of the model, respectively. The adsorption structure was calculated under the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof functional with the DZVP-GTH pseudopotential basis set. The calculation speed was improved using the Gaussian plane wave method. The vacuum layer was set to 15 Å to avoid interactions between adjacent unit cell molecules, the plane wave cutoff energy was 600 Ry, the energy and intermolecular force convergence standards were set to 10^{-6} Hartree and 4.5×10^{-4} Hartree/Bohr, respectively, and the k-point setting was 3 \times 3 \times 1. The Van der Waals correction was performed using the Grimme method [21]. The free energy was calculated as

$$\Delta \mathbf{G} = \Delta \mathbf{E} + \Delta \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{E} + \Delta \mathbf{H}_{0 \rightarrow T} - \mathbf{T} \Delta \mathbf{S}$$

where ΔE and ΔZPE represent the changes in the single-point and zero-point energies, respectively, $\Delta H_{0 \rightarrow T}$ represents the enthalpy change during a temperature change from 0 K to T, and ΔS represents the entropy change.

2.1. Reaction path of electrocatalytic decomposition

Under water-solvent conditions, HAN decomposes into NH_3OH^+ and NO_3^- ions [22]. The aqueous solvent condition is simulated using the implicit solvent SMD (Solvent Model based on Density) model. The configuration changes of HAN under vacuum and water-solvent



conditions have been shown in Figure 2, and the energies were calculated using Gaussian 16 software [16]. Under vacuum and watersolvent conditions, the HAN molecule is configured as NH₂OH·HNO₂ and NH₂OH⁺·NO₂⁻, respectively. As NH₂OH⁺·NO₂⁻ has a lower free energy of configuration than NH₂OH HNO₃, HAN easily decomposes into NH₂OH⁺ and NO₂⁻ ions under water-solvent conditions. The electrocatalytic decomposition mechanism of HAN has been shown in Figure 3, where '*' represents adsorbed molecules, and radicals are identified with '.' (for example, '.OH' denotes OH radicals). Under a potential difference, anions and cations will move toward the anode (which tends to lose electrons) and cathode (which tends to gain electrons), respectively. As the NO₃⁻ ions move toward the anode, they lose one electron and adsorb as *NO, on the anode surface Eq. (1). Figure 4(a) shows the configuration of *NO_a. The two O atoms of NO_a are adsorbed on adjacent Cu atoms at the anode surface with different bond lengths (2.040 Å and 2.045 Å) and a bond angle of 119.337° between the adsorbed O and N atoms. *NO, dissociates into *NO, and * O on the anode surface Eq. (2). *NO₃ is configured as shown in Figure 4(b). The two O atoms of NO₂ are adsorbed on adjacent Cu atoms on the anode surface with the same bond lengths as *NO₂ (2.040 Å and 2.045 Å). The bond angle between the adsorbed O and N atoms is slightly smaller in *NO, than the bond angle between the adsorbed O and N atoms in $*NO_2$. Figure 4(c) shows the configuration of *O. The bond



Molecular configuration

Figure 2. Configurational changes of HAN under vacuum and water-solvent conditions.



Figure 3. Diagram showing the electrocatalytic decomposition mechanism of HAN.



Figure 4. Configurations of the adsorbed molecules involved in electrocatalytic decomposition: (a) $*NO_3$; (b) $*NO_2$; (c) *O; (d) *OH; (e) *OOH; (f) $*NH_2OH$; (g) $*(NH_2 + OH)$; (h) $*NH_2$.

lengths between the O and adjacent Cu atoms on the anode surface are 1.906 Å and 1.907 Å, with a bond angle of 88.604° . *NO₂ can desorb from the anode surface as NO₂ see Eq. (3):

$$* + NO_3 - e^- = *NO_3$$
 (1)

$$* + *NO_3 = *NO_2 + *O$$
 (2)

$$*NO_2 = * + NO_2$$
 (3)

 H_2O molecules lose one electron, decomposing into *·OH and H^+ ions on the anode surface Eq. (4). Figure 4(d) shows the configuration of *·OH. The bond lengths between the O atom and adjacent Cu atoms on the anode surface are 2.027 Å and 2.032 Å, with a bond angle of 84.646°. *·OH loses one electron on the anode surface, forming *·O and H^+ Eq. (5). The *·O radicals react with H_2O molecules, losing one electron to form H^+ and *·OOH Eq. (6). Figure 4(e) shows the configuration of *·OOH. The two O atoms of ·OOH are adsorbed on adjacent Cu atoms on the anode surface with bond lengths of 2.189 Å and 2.033 Å and bond angles of 89.832° and 109.330°, respectively. *·OOH loses one electron on the anode surface, forming H^+ and O_2 Eq. (7). The reaction equations are as follows:

$$* + H_{2}O - e^{-} = * OH + H^{+}$$
 (4)

$$* \cdot OH - e^{-} = * \cdot O + H^{+}$$

$$* \cdot 0 + H_2 0 - e^- = * \cdot 00H + H^+$$
 (6)

*·OOH -
$$e^- = * + O_2 + H^+$$
 (7)

Under the action of the potential difference, $\rm NH_3OH^+$ ions move toward the cathode, where they dissociate into $\rm *NH_2OH$ and $\rm H^+$ ions on the cathode surface Eq. (8). Figure 4(f) shows the configuration of $\rm *NH_2OH$. The N atom of $\rm NH_2OH$ adsorbs with a bond length of 2.159 Å near the Cu atom on the cathode surface. The bond angles are 107.311° between the Cu, N, and H atoms and 113.946° between the Cu, N, and O atoms. $\rm *NH_2OH$ dissociates into $\rm *(\cdot NH_2 + OH)$ Eq. (9) with the configuration shown in Figure 4(g). The bond lengths between the N atom of $\rm \cdot NH_2$ and the two adjacent Cu atoms on the cathode surface are 2.000 Å and 1.994 Å with a bond angle of 113.946°. Meanwhile, the bond lengths between the O atom of $\rm \cdot OH$ and the two adjacent Cu atoms on the cathode surface are 2.042 Å and 2.024 Å, with a bond angle of 83.845°. $\rm *\cdot NH_2$ obtains an electron at the cathode and

combines with H⁺ ions to form NH₃ Eq. (10). Figure 4(h) shows the configuration of NH₂. The N atom of \cdot NH₂ bonds with two adjacent Cu atoms on the cathode surface with bond lengths of 1.990 Å and 1.991 Å and a bond angle of 82.757°. *·OH obtains an electron at the cathode and combines with H⁺ ions to form H₂O Eq. (11). The reaction equations are as follows:

$$* + NH_{3}OH^{+} = *NH_{2}OH + H^{+}$$
 (8)

$$*NH_2OH = *(\cdot NH_2 + \cdot OH)$$
(9)

$$* \cdot NH_2 + H^+ + e^- = * + NH_3$$
 (10)

$$* \cdot OH + H^+ + e^- = * + H_2O$$
(11)

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Anodic reaction mechanism

Table 1 lists the thermodynamic parameters of the relevant molecules during the anodic reaction process, calculated using CP2K software. As analyzed in the previous section, NO₂⁻ ions near the anode first lose one electron and adsorb to the anode surface. To avoid the CP2K calculation of the free energy of NO₂⁻ ions, the formation free energy of Eq. (1) was obtained by summing the formation free energies of Eqs. (12), (13), and (14); that is, $\Delta G_{_1} = \Delta G_{_{12}} + \Delta G_{_{13}} + \Delta G_{_{14}},$ where ΔG represents the formation free energy and the subscript denotes the number of the reaction equation. In this expression, $\Delta G_{12} = G(*NO_3) + 0.5G(H_2(g)) - G(HNO_3(g)) - G(*)$ where G represents the free energy and $H^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ + $e^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$ is replaced by half the free energy of H2. According to Calle-Vallejo et al. [14], $\Delta G_{_{13}}=0.317~eV$ and $\Delta G_{_{14}}=0.075~eV.$ As only the vibrations of adsorbed molecules are relevant, the surface free energy of the anode is replaced by the single-point energy [23]. From the data in Table 1, ΔG_1 was determined as 0.001 eV. Eqs. (12)–(14) are computed as

$$* + HNO_{3}(g) - e^{-} = *NO_{3} + H^{+}$$
(12)

$$NO_{3}^{-} + H^{+} = HNO_{3}(l)$$
 (13)

$$HNO_{3}(l) = HNO_{3}(g)$$
(14)

(5)

Molecular formula	E _{ele} (Hartree)	T (K)	ZPE (Hartree)	∆G (Hartree)	G (Hartree)
*	-3080.168				
*NO ₃	-3138.089	298.15	0.016	0.012	-3138.077
HNO ₃ (g)	-58.494	298.15	0.025	0.017	-58.477
H ₂ (g)	-1.162	298.15	0.012	-0.002	-1.164
*NO ₂	-3122.099	298.15	0.011	0.008	-3122.091
*.0	-3096.186	298.15	0.003	0.003	-3096.183
NO ₂ (g)	-41.881	298.15	0.009	-0.017	-41.897
O ₂ (g)	-31.928	298.15	0.004	-0.002	-31.931
H ₂ O(l)	-17.220	298.15		0.000	-17.220
*·OH	-3096.806	298.15	0.013	0.012	-3096.794
*-00H	-3112.733	298.15	0.017	0.015	-3112.718

 Table 1. Thermodynamic parameters of the molecules involved in the anodic reaction process.

Note: *: anodic surface; E_{elc} : Single-point energy; T: Temperature; ZPE: Zero-point energy; ΔG : Free energy correction: G: Represents free energy.

The formation free energy of *NO₂ dissociation into *NO, and * O on the anode surface can be expressed as $\Delta G_2 = G(*NO_2) + G(*O) - G(*NO_2) - G(*)$. From the data in Table 1, ΔG_2 was obtained as – 0.811 eV. Given the formation free energy of NO₂ on the anode surface $\Delta G_3 = G(*) + G(NO_2(g)) - G(*NO_2)$ and the data in Table 1, ΔG_2 was obtained as 1.125 eV. The formation free energy of H₂O molecules decomposing into *.OH and H+ ions on the anode surface can be expressed as $\Delta G_4 = G(* OH) + 0.5G(H_2(g)) - G(H_2O(l)) - G(*)$, giving $\Delta G_4 = 0.310$ eV based on the data in Table 1. The free energy of * O and H⁺ formation on the anode surface after * OH adsorption is computed as $\Delta G_{E} = G(* \cdot O) + 0.5G(H_{2}(g)) - G(* \cdot OH)$, from which $\Delta G_{E} = 0.782$ eV from the data in Table 1. The formation free energy of H⁺ and *·OOH formed by the reaction between *·O and H₂O molecules is $\Delta G_6 = G(* \cdot OOH) + 0.5G(H_2(g)) - G(* \cdot O) - G(H_2O(l)).$ From the data in Table 1, ΔG_{ϵ} was obtained as 2.799 eV. Finally, the formation free energy of H^+ and O_2 on the anode surface of * OOH, given $\Delta G_{7} = G(*) + G(O_{2}(g)) + 0.5G(H_{2}(g)) - G(* \cdot O) - G(H_{2}O(1)),$ bv obtained as $\Delta G_7 = 1.028$ eV using the data of Table 1.

From the above analysis, it was concluded that O_2 on the anode surface can be formed through two pathways: electrocatalytic decomposition of NO_3^- ions and electrocatalytic decomposition of H_2O molecules. Figure 5 shows the potential barrier diagram of NO_3^- ion decomposition on the anode surface. The potential barrier of electron adsorption of NO_3^- ions is almost 0, and that of *NO₃ decomposition into *NO₂ and *-O is negative, indicating that the process can easily spontaneously occur. Meanwhile, NO_2 desorption, *-O to *-OOH conversion, and *-OOH to O_2 conversion must cross potential barriers of 1.125, 2.799, and 1.028 eV, respectively. Figure 6 shows the potential barrier diagram of H_2O decomposition on the anode surface. The conversion of adsorbed H_2O molecules to *-OH, conversion of *-OOH to



Reaction path

Figure 5. Reaction barrier diagram of NO_3^- ion decomposition on the anode surface.



Figure 6. Reaction barrier diagram of $\rm H_2O$ molecule decomposition on the anode surface.

*•O, and subsequent conversion to *•OOH and O₂, like NO₃⁻ ions, must cross potential barriers of 0.310 eV, 0.782 eV. As NO₃⁻ conversion to O₂ has a lower potential barrier than H₂O conversion to O₂ on the anode surface, the decomposition rate of NO₃⁻ exceeds that of H₂O, and NO₃⁻ decomposition is the main pathway of O₂ generation at the anode.

3.2. Cathodic reaction mechanism

Table 2 lists the thermodynamic parameters of the molecules involved in the cathodic reaction process, calculated using CP2K software. As analyzed in the previous subsection, NH₂OH⁺ ions first dissociate into *NH₂OH and H⁺ ions on the cathode surface. To avoid the CP2K calculation of the free energy of NH₃OH⁺ ions, the formation free energy of the acid-dissociation reaction of NH₂OH⁺ ions Eq. (15) was first calculated using Gaussian software. Next, the formation free energy of Eq. (16) was calculated in CP2K software to obtain the formation free energy of Eq. (8), namely, $\Delta G_8 = \Delta G_{15} + \Delta G_{16}$, where the formation free energy of Eq. (15) was calculated as $\Delta G^{}_{_{15}}$ = 0.349 eV and that of Eq. (16) was obtained as $\Delta G_{16} = G(*NH_2OH) - G(NH_2OH) - G(*)$ = 0.310 eV using the data in Table 2. Therefore, G_8 was obtained as 0.279 eV. The formation free energy of NH,OH dissociation into *(·NH₂ + ·OH) is $\Delta G_q = G(*(·NH_2 + ·OH)) - G(*NH_2OH)$. From the data in Table 2, ΔG_0 was obtained as -1.954 eV. The reaction equations are given by

$$NH_{2}OH^{+} = NH_{2}OH + H^{+}$$
(15)

$$* + \mathrm{NH}_{2}\mathrm{OH} = *\mathrm{NH}_{2}\mathrm{OH}$$
(16)

 Table 2. Thermodynamic parameters of the molecules involved in the cathodic reaction process.

Molecular formula	E _{ele} (Hartree)	T (K)	ZPE (Hartree)	∆G (Hartree)	G (Hartree)
*	-3080.168				
*NH ₂ OH	-3107.887	298.15	0.041	0.039	-3107.848
NH ₂ OH	-27.692	298.15	0.039	0.014	-27.678
H ₂ (g)	-1.162	298.15	0.012	-0.002	-1.164
*($\cdot NH_2 + \cdot OH$)	-3107.956	298.15	0.038	0.036	-3107.920
$* \cdot NH_2$	-3091.318	298.15	0.025	0.023	-3091.295
H ₂ O(l)	-17.220	298.15		0.000	-17.220
NH ₃	-11.738	298.15	0.034	0.014	-11.723
*·OH	-3096.806	298.15	0.013	0.012	-3096.794
*·OOH	-3112.733	298.15	0.017	0.015	-3112.718

Note: *: cathode surface; E_{ele} : Single-point energy; T: Temperature; ZPE: Zero-point energy; ΔG : Free energy correction: G: Represents free energy

Li et al.



Reaction path

Figure 7. Reaction barrier diagram of $\rm NH_3OH^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ ion decomposition on the cathode surface.

*(·NH_ + ·OH) reacts with H⁺ ions to remove an H₂O molecule Eq. (17). Next, * NH_2 and H^+ ions combine to form NH_3 Eq. (17) with a formation free energy of $\Delta G_{17} = G(* \cdot NH_2) + G(H_2O(1)) - G(*(\cdot NH_2 + \cdot OH)) - 0.5G(H_2(g)).$ From the data in Table 2, ΔG_{17} was obtained as -0.343 eV. Meanwhile, the formation free energy of Ea. (10)is $\Delta G_{10} = G(*) + G(NH_3) - G(* \cdot NH_2) - 0.5G(H_2(g)) = -0.388 \text{ eV}$ using the data in Table 2. *(·NH₂ + ·OH) reacts with H⁺ ions to remove an NH₃ molecule Eq. (18). The *·OH and H⁺ ions then combine to form H₂O with a formation free energy of $\Delta G_{18} = G(\cdot OH) + G(NH_3) - G(*(\cdot NH_2 + \cdot OH)) - 0.5G(H_2(g))$. From the data in Table 2, $\Delta G_{_{18}} was$ obtained as – 0.422 eV . The formation free energy of Eq. (11) is $\Delta G_{11} = G(*) + G(H_2O(l)) - G(* \cdot OH) - 0.5G(H_2(g))$. From the data in Table 2, ΔG_{11} was determined as – 0.310 eV. The reaction equations are as follows:

$$(\cdot NH_2 + \cdot OH) + H^+ + e^- = (\cdot NH_2 + H_2O(1))$$
 (17)

 $(\cdot NH_2 + \cdot OH) + H^+ + e^- = (\cdot OH + NH_3)$ (18)

As shown in the above analysis, NH₂OH⁺ ions gradually decompose on the cathode surface. Figure 7 shows the reaction potential-barrier diagram of NH₂OH⁺ decomposition on the cathode surface. The potential barrier of electron adsorption by NH₂OH⁺ ions and subsequent *NH₂OH decomposition is 0.279 eV. As the energy increases during conversion, the reaction cannot easily proceed spontaneously. However, when *NH₂OH decomposes into *(NH₂ + OH), the energy barrier is lowered and this reaction can spontaneously proceed. The energy also decreases when $(\cdot NH_2 + \cdot OH)$ converts to $*NH_2$ and $* \cdot OH$, but the larger decrease in energy during conversion to *.OH indicates a faster reaction rate of conversion to *·OH than of conversion to *NH_a. Finally, the desorption of adsorbed molecules ·NH, and ·OH from the cathode surface can also spontaneously proceed. Therefore, the decisive step in NH₂OH⁺ decomposition is electron adsorption and decomposition of NH₂OH⁺ ions to *NH₂OH. All subsequent decomposition processes can spontaneously occur.

4. Conclusions

The main conclusions of the study are summarized below.

- (1) Under water-solvent conditions, HAN easily decomposes into NH₃OH⁺ and NO₃⁻ ions. Under the action of a potential difference, NH₃OH⁺ ions and NO₃⁻ ions move toward the cathode and anode, respectively, where they undergo electrocatalytic decomposition reactions. Specifically, NH₃OH⁺ ions decompose into NH₃ and H₂O on the cathode surface, and NO₃⁻ ions decompose into NO₂ and O₂ on the anode surface.
- (2) O_2 at the anode surface can be generated via two pathways: the electrocatalytic decomposition of NO_3^- ions and the electrocatalytic decomposition of H_2O molecules on the anode surface. The key difference between these two pathways lies in the formation of the

*•O intermediate. For NO₃⁻ ions, the energy decreases during the formation of *•O, with a reaction barrier of -0.811 eV, indicating that the reaction is highly spontaneous. In contrast, for H₂O molecules, the energy increases during the formation of *•O, with a reaction barrier of +0.782 eV. This suggests that the formation of *•O from H₂O is more challenging. Therefore, the electrocatalytic decomposition of NO₃⁻ ions on the anode surface are the dominant pathway for O₂ generation at the anode.

- (3) The cathodic surface reaction easily occurs, and its rate-determining step is the electron adsorption and decomposition of NH₃OH⁺ ions to form *NH₂OH. The energies of all subsequent decomposition processes decrease, indicating that the reaction occurs spontaneously. On the cathodic surface, *(·NH₂ +· OH) conversion to *·NH₂ competes with *(NH₂+·OH) conversion to *·OH. The greater energy decrease during conversion to *·OH indicates a higher reaction rate of *·OH formation than of *·NH₂ formation from *(NH₄+· OH).
- (4) During the anodic reaction, several processes generate hydrogen ions (H'), while at the cathode, multiple reactions consume hydrogen ions. This leads to the cathodic solution becoming alkaline and the anodic solution becoming acidic after the electrocatalytic decomposition of the HAN solution.
- (5) The electrocatalytic decomposition mechanism of HAN on the Cu(111) surface is highly complex. This study primarily analyzes the main pathways for the formation of O_2 and NH_3 . Future research could utilize quantum chemical simulations to predict potential by-products, thereby guiding experimental investigations. Additionally, deeper insights into the reaction mechanism could be revealed through atomic charge calculations and orbital composition analysis.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Men Li and Tianpeng Li: Conceptualization, Men Li: Methodology, Men Li, Tianpeng Li and Xinbao Gao: Validation, Men Li: Data Curation, Men Li: Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Men Li, Tianpeng Li, Yin Huang and Xinbao Gao: Writing – Review & Editing.

Declaration of competing interest

All authors disclose no conflicts of interest.

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process

The authors confirm that there was no use of artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted technology for assisting in the writing or editing of the manuscript and no images were manipulated using AI.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Shiyanjialab (www.shiyanjia.com) for the language editing service.

References

- Xia, X., Fu, X.L., Hu, S., Zhao, Y., 2024. Research progress of new electrically controlled solid propellants for smart ammunition. *Chinese Journal of Explosives & Propellants*, 47, 287-304. https://doi.org/10.14077/j.issn.1007-7812.202306019.
- Li, M., Li, T.P., Gao, X.B., 2024. Research progress in ECSP preparation and electronically controlled combustion technology. Journal of Solid Rocket Technology, 47, 155–163. https://doi.org/10.7673/j.issn.1006-2793.2024.02.002.
- Chai, W.S., Cheah, K.H., Wu, M.-H., Koh, K.S., Sun, D., Meng, H., 2022. A review on hydroxylammonium nitrate (HAN) decomposition techniques for propulsion application. Acta Astronautica, 196, 194-214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. actaastro.2022.04.011
- Izato, Y.-ichiro, Koshi, M., Miyake, A., 2017. Decomposition pathways for aqueous hydroxylammonium nitrate solutions: A DFT study. *Central European Journal of Energetic Materials*, 14, 888-916. https://doi.org/10.22211/cejem/71193
- Souagh, A., Remissa, I., Atamanov, M., El Alaoui, H., Amrousse, R., 2022. Comparative study of the thermal decomposition of hydroxylammonium nitrate green energetic compound: Combination between experimental and DFT calculation. *International Journal of Energetic Materials and Chemical Propulsion*, 21, 31-8. https://doi.org/10.1615/intjenergeticmaterialschemprop.2022044056

- Cheng, H.B., Wang, Z., Tao, B.W., Huang, Y., Wu, Z., Li, X.W., 2018. Study on thermal decomposition characteristics of hydroxylammonium nitrate and its stabilization technology. *Chemical Propellants & Polymeric Materials*, 2018;16:80-5. https://doi.org/10.16572/j.issn1672-2191.201809027.
- Oxley, J.C., Brower. K.R., 1988. Thermal decomposition of hydroxylamine nitrate. Propulsion 872, 63–70. https://doi.org/10.1117/12.943754.
- Taylor, N.R., Brummel, M.H., Mooney, M.M., Kerber, T.V., Lemmer, K.M., 2024. On the formation of ammonia from the thermal decomposition of hydroxylammonium nitrate vapor. *Journal of Ionic Liquids*, 4, 100083. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jil.2024.100083
- Zhang, K., Thynell, S.T., 2018. Thermal decomposition mechanism of aqueous hydroxylammonium nitrate (HAN): Molecular simulation and kinetic modeling. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry. A*, **122**, 8086-8100. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs. jpca.8b05351
- Koh, K.S., Chin, J., Wahida Ku Chik, T.F., 2013. Role of electrodes in ambient electrolytic decomposition of hydroxylammonium nitrate (HAN) solutions. *Propulsion* and Power Research, 2, 194-200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jppr.2013.07.002
- and Power Research, 2, 194-200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jppr.2013.07.002
 11. Sun, D., Dai, Q., Chai, W.S., Fang, W., Meng, H., 2022. Experimental studies on parametric effects and reaction mechanisms in electrolytic decomposition and ignition of HAN solutions. ACS Omega, 7, 18521-18530. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c01183
- 12. Huang Y, Zhang XP, Pang AM, Li HX, Wang Y, Cheng HB. Research progress on new intelligent electronic control solid propellant technology. In The 40th Technical Exchange Conference and the 4th Aerospace Power Joint Conference of China Aerospace Third Professional Information Network, 2024.
- Zhou, X., Wang, Q., Shi, Z., Hu, X., Yao, T., Huang, Y., 2023. A theoretical investigation of the catalytic decomposition of hydroxylamine nitrate on ir(1 1 0) surface. *Computational and Theoretical Chemistry*, 1225, 114141. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.comptc.2023.114141
- Calle-Vallejo, F., Huang, M., Henry, J.B., Koper, M.T., Bandarenka, A.S., 2013. Theoretical design and experimental implementation of Ag/Au electrodes for the electrochemical reduction of nitrate. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics: PCCP*, 15, 3196-3202. https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cp44620k
- Ren, R., Wang, Y., Li, T., 2025. In situ growth of feCo sulfides on cobalt iron foam for efficient high-current-density hydrogen evolution reaction electrocatalysis. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 682, 288-297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jcis.2024.11.251
- Frisch, M.J., Trucks, G.W., Schlegel, H.B., Scuseria, G.E., Robb, M.A., Cheeseman, J.R., Scalmani, G., Barone, V., Petersson, G. A., Nakatsuji, H., Li, X., Caricato, M., Marenich, A.V., Bloino, J., Janesko, B.G., Gomperts, R., Mennucci, B., Hratchian, H.P., Ortiz, J.V., Izmaylov, A.F., Sonnenberg, J.L., Williams-Young, D., Ding, F.,

Lipparini, F., Egidi, F., Goings, J., Peng, B., Petrone, A., Henderson, T., Ranasinghe, D., Zakrzewski, V.G., Gao, J., Rega, N., Zheng, G., Liang, W., Hada, M., Ehara, M., Toyota, K., Fukuda, R., Hasegawa, J., Ishida, M., Nakajima, T., Honda, Y., Kitao, O., Nakai, H., Vreven, T., Throssell, K., Montgomery, J.A., Jr., Peralta, J.E., Ogliaro, F., Bearpark, M.J., Heyd, J.J., Brothers, E.N., Kudin, K.N., Staroverov, V.N., Keith, T.A., Kobayashi, R., Normand, J., Raghavachari, K., Rendell, A.P., Burant, J.C., Iyengar, S.S., Tomasi, J., Cossi, M., Millam, J.M., Klene, M., Adamo, C., Cammi, R., Ochterski, J.W., Martin, R.L., Morokuma, K., Farkas, O., Foresman, J.B., Fox, D.J., 2016. Gaussian 16, Revision A.03; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford CT.

- Liu, H., Fu, Z., Zhao, Y., Li, J., Li, S., Pi, Z., 2024. Study of lubrication adsorption behavior based on molecular dynamics simulation. *Equipment Environmental Engineering* 2024, 28–36. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4879964.
- Donchev, A.G., Taube, A.G., Decolvenaere, E., Hargus, C., McGibbon, R.T., Law, K.H., Gregersen, B.A., Li, J.L., Palmo, K., Siva, K., Bergdorf, M., Klepeis, J.L., Shaw, D.E., 2021. Quantum chemical benchmark databases of gold-standard dimer interaction energies. *Scientific Data*, 8, 55. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-021-00833-x
- 19. Yu, Y., Pan, L., Sun, Q., Wang, J., 2024. The mechanism and kinetics of the atmospheric oxidation of CF₃(CF₂)₂CH = CH₂ (HFC-1447fz) by hydroxyl radicals: *ab* initio investigation. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, **26**, 10989-10997. https://doi.org/10.1039/d3cp06149c
- Kühne, T.D., Iannuzzi, M., Del Ben, M., Rybkin, V.V., Seewald, P., Stein, F., Laino, T., Khaliullin, R.Z., Schütt, O., Schiffmann, F., Golze, D., Wilhelm, J., Chulkov, S., Bani-Hashemian, M.H., Weber, V.éry, Borštnik, U., Taillefumier, M., Jakobovits, A.S., Lazzaro, A., Pabst, H., Müller, T., Schade, R., Guidon, M., Andermatt, S., Holmberg, N., Schenter, G.K., Hehn, A., Bussy, A., Belleflamme, F., Tabacchi, G., Glöß, A., Lass, M., Bethune, I., Mundy, C.J., Plessl, C., Watkins, M., VandeVondele, J., Krack, M., Hutter, J.ürg, 2020. CP2K: An electronic structure and molecular dynamics software package - quickstep: Efficient and accurate electronic structure calculations. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, **152**, 194103. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0007045
- Grimme, S., Antony, J., Ehrlich, S., Krieg, H., 2010. A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-d) for the 94 elements H-Pu. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 132, 154104. https://doi. org/10.1063/1.3382344
- Broemmelsiek, E.J., Rovey, J.L., Berg, S.P., 2021. Effect of metal sequestrants on the decomposition of hydroxylammonium nitrate. *Catalysts*, 11, 1488. https://doi. org/10.3390/catal11121488
- Nørskov, J.K., Rossmeisl, J., Logadottir, A., Lindqvist, L., Kitchin, J.R., Bligaard, T., Jónsson, H., 2004. Origin of the overpotential for oxygen reduction at a fuelcell cathode. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry. B*, 108, 17886-17892. https://doi. org/10.1021/jp047349j